arXiv:math/0703430v2 [math.FA] 16 Mar 2007

A Functional Calculus For Quotient Bounded Operators [*]

Sorin Mirel Stoian
University of Petroşani

Abstract

If $(X,\mathcal{P})$ is a sequentially complete locally convex space, then a quotient bounded operator $T\in Q_{\mathcal{P}}(X)$ is regular (in the sense of Waelbroeck) if and only if it is a bounded element (in the sense of Allan) of algebra $Q_{\mathcal{P}}(X)$. The classic functional calculus for the bounded operators on Banach space is generalized for bounded elements of algebra $Q_{\mathcal{P}}(X)$.

AMS 2000 Subject Classification: 47A60, 47A10, 47A25
Key Words: Functional calculus, Spectrum, Spectral sets

1 Introduction

It is well-known that if X is a Banach space and $L(X)$ is Banach algebra of bounded operators on X, then formula

$$f(T)=\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int\limits_{\Gamma} f\left(z\right)R\left(z,T\right)dz,$$

(where f is an analytic function on some neighborhood of $\sigma(T)$, Γ is a closed rectifiable Jordan curve whose interior domain D is such that $\sigma(T)\subset D$, and f is analytic on D and continuous on $D\cup\Gamma$) defines a homomorphism $f\to f(T)$ from the set of all analytic functions on some neighborhood of $\sigma(T)$ into $L(X)$, with very useful properties.

Through this paper all locally convex spaces will be assumed Hausdorff, over complex field $\mathbb{C}$, and all operators will be linear. If X and Y are topological vector spaces we denote by $L(X,Y)$ $(\mathcal{L}(X,Y))$ the algebra of linear operators (continuous operators) from X to Y.

Any family $\mathcal{P}$ of seminorms which generate the topology of locally convex space X (in the sense that the topology of X is the coarsest with respect to which all seminorms of $\mathcal{P}$ are continuous) will be called a calibration on X.The set of all calibrations for X is denoted by $\mathcal{C}(X)$ and the set of all principal calibration by $\mathcal{C}_0(X)$.

---

[*] This work is supported by the M.Ed.C. grant C.N.B.S.S./2006

If $(X,\mathcal{P})$ is a locally convex algebra and each seminorms $p \in \mathcal{P}$ is submultiplicative then we said that $(X,\mathcal{P})$ is l.m.c.-algebra.

On a family of seminorms on a linear space X we define the relation ,, $\leq$' of partially ' ordered by

$$p \leq q \Leftrightarrow p\,(x) \leq q\,(x),\ (\forall)\, x \in X.$$

A family of seminorms is preordered by relation ,, $\prec$", where

$$p \prec q \Leftrightarrow \text{there exists some } r > 0 \text{ such that } p\,(x) \leq rq\,(x), \text{ for all } x \in X.$$

If $p \prec q$ and $q \prec p$, we write $p \approx q$.

Definition 1.1 *Two families $\mathcal{P}_1$ and $\mathcal{P}_2$ of seminorms on a linear space are called Q-equivalent (denoted $\mathcal{P}_1 \approx \mathcal{P}_2$) provided:*

1. *for each $p_1 \in P_1$ there exists $p_2 \in \mathcal{P}_2$ such that $p_1 \approx p_2$;*
2. *for each $p_2 \in P_2$ there exists $p_1 \in \mathcal{P}_1$ such that $p_2 \approx p_1$.*

It is obvious that two Q-equivalent and separating families of seminorms on a linear space generate the same locally convex topology.

Similar to the norm of an operator on a normed space we define the mixed operator seminorm of an operator between locally convex spaces. If $(X,\mathcal{P})$, $(Y,\mathcal{Q})$ are locally convex spaces, then for each $p \in \mathcal{P}$ and $q \in \mathcal{Q}$ the application $m_{pq} : L(X,Y) \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$, defined by

$$m_{pq}(T) = \sup_{p(x)\neq 0} \frac{q\,(Tx)}{p\,(x)}, (\forall)\, T \in L(X,Y).$$

is called the mixed operator seminorm of T associated with p and q. When $X = Y$ and $p = q$ we use notation $\hat{p} = m_{pp}$.

Lemma 1.2 ([18]) *If $(X,\mathcal{P}),(Y,\mathcal{Q})$ are locally convex spaces and $T \in L(X,Y)$, then*

1. $m_{pq}(T) = \sup\limits_{p(x)=1} q\,(Tx) = \sup\limits_{p(x)\leq 1} q\,(Tx)\,, (\forall)\, p \in P, (\forall)\, q \in Q;$
2. $q\,(Tx) \leq m_{pq}\,(T)\, p\,(x)$, $(\forall)\, x \in X$, *whenever* $m_{pq}(T) < \infty$.
3. $m_{pq}(T) = \inf\{M > 0\, |q\,(Tx) \leq Mp\,(x)\ , (\forall)\, x \in X\}$, *whenever* $m_{pq}(T) < \infty$.

Definition 1.3 *Let X be a locally convex space. An operator $T \in L(X)$ is:*

1. *quotient bounded operator with respect to a calibration $\mathcal{P} \in \mathcal{C}(X)$ if for every seminorm $p \in \mathcal{P}$ there exists $c_p > 0$ such that*

$$p\,(Tx) \leq c_p p\,(x)\,, (\forall)\, x \in X.$$

2. *universally bounded with respect to the calibration* $\mathcal{P} \in \mathcal{C}(X)$ *if there exists* $c_0 > 0$ *such that*

$$p(Tx) \leq c_0 p\,(x)\,, (\forall)\, x \in X, (\forall)\, p \in \mathcal{P}.$$

3. *locally bounded if maps some zero neighborhood in a bounded set, i.e. there exists some seminorms* $p \in \mathcal{P}$ *such that we have* $m_{pq}(T) < \infty$*, for each* $q \in \mathcal{P}$ *.*

Remark 1.4 *It is obvious that* $B_{\mathcal{P}}(X) \subset Q_{\mathcal{P}}(X) \subset \mathcal{L}(X)$.

If X is a locally convex space and $\mathcal{P} \in C(X)$, then for every $p \in \mathcal{P}$ the application $\hat{p} : Q_{\mathcal{P}}(X) \to \mathbf{R}$ defined by

$$\hat{p}(T) = \inf\{\ r > 0 \mid p(Tx) \leq r\ p\,(x)\,, (\forall)\, x \in X\ \},$$

is a submultiplicative seminorm on $Q_{\mathcal{P}}(X)$, satisfying $\hat{p}(I) = 1$. We denote by $\hat{\mathcal{P}}$ the family $\{\ \hat{\mathcal{P}} \mid p \in \mathcal{P}\}$.

Lemma 1.5 ([16]) *If* X *is a sequentially complete convex space, then* $Q_{\mathcal{P}}(X)$ *is a sequentially complete m-convex algebra for all* $\mathcal{P} \in \mathcal{C}(X)$.

Let $(X, \mathcal{P})$ be a locally convex space and $T \in Q_{\mathcal{P}}(X)$. We say that T is a bounded element of the algebra $Q_{\mathcal{P}}(X)$ if it is bounded element in the sense of G.R.Allan [1], i.e some scalar multiple of it generates a bounded semigroup. The class of the bounded elements of $Q_{\mathcal{P}}(X)$ is denoted by $(Q_{\mathcal{P}}(X))_0$.

Definition 1.6 *Let* $(X, \mathcal{P})$ *be a locally convex space.*

1. *If* $T \in Q_{\mathcal{P}}(X)$ *(*$T \in (Q_{\mathcal{P}}(X))_0$*, respectively* $T \in B_{\mathcal{P}}(X)$*) we said that* $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ *is in the resolvent set* $\rho(Q_{\mathcal{P}}, T)$ *(*$\rho(Q^0_{\mathcal{P}}, T)$*, respectively* $\rho(B_{\mathcal{P}}, T)$*) if there exists* $(\alpha I - T)^{-1} \in Q_{\mathcal{P}}(X)$ *(*$(\alpha I - T)^{-1} \in (Q_{\mathcal{P}}(X))_0$*, respectively* $(\alpha I - T)^{-1} \in B_{\mathcal{P}}(X)$*). The spectral set* $\sigma(Q_{\mathcal{P}}, T)$ *(*$\sigma(Q^0_{\mathcal{P}}, T)$*, respectively* $\sigma(B_{\mathcal{P}}, T)$*) will be the complement set of* $\rho(Q_{\mathcal{P}}, T)$ *(*$\rho(Q^0_{\mathcal{P}}, T)$*, respectively* $\rho(B_{\mathcal{P}}, T)$*).*

2. *Let* T *be a locally bounded operator on a locally convex space* X*. We say that* $\lambda \in \rho_{lb}(T)$ *if there exists a scalar* α *and a locally bounded operator* S *on* X *such that* $(\lambda I - T)^{-1} = \alpha I + S$*. The spectral set* $\sigma_{lb}(T)$ *is defined to be the complement of the resolvent set* $\rho_{lb}(T)$*.*

Remark 1.7 1. *It is obvious that we have the following inclusions*

$$\sigma(T) \subset \sigma(Q_{\mathcal{P}}, T) \subset \sigma(B_{\mathcal{P}}, T).$$

2. *The set* $\rho_{lb}(T)$ *will be the spectrum of* T *in the algebra* $\mathcal{LB}_0(X)$*.*

Definition 1.8 *Let X be a locally convex space. A sequence $(S_n)_n \subset \mathcal{LB}(X)$ converges uniformly to zero on some zero-neighborhood if for each principal calibration $\mathcal{P} \in \mathcal{C}_0(X)$ there exists some seminorm $p \in \mathcal{P}$ such that for every $q \in \mathcal{P}$ and every $\epsilon > 0$ there exists an index $n_{q,\epsilon} \in \mathbf{N}$, with the property*

$$m_{pq}(S_n) < \epsilon, (\forall)\, n \geq n_{q,\epsilon}.$$

A family $G \subset \mathcal{LB}(X)$ is uniformly bounded on some zero-neighborhood if there exists some seminorm $p \in \mathcal{P}$ such that for every $q \in \mathcal{P}$ there exists $\epsilon_q > 0$ with the property

$$m_{pq}(S) < \epsilon_q, (\forall)\, S \in G.$$

Proposition 1.9 ([8]) *Let X be a locally convex space and $\mathcal{P} \in \mathcal{C}(X)$.*

1. *$Q_{\mathcal{P}}(X)$ is a unitary subalgebra of $\mathcal{L}(X)$;*
2. *$Q_{\mathcal{P}}(X)$ is a unitary l.m.c.-algebra with respect to the topology determined by $\hat{\mathcal{P}}$;*
3. *If $\mathcal{P}' \in \mathcal{C}(X)$ such that $\mathcal{P} \approx \mathcal{P}'$, then $Q_{\mathcal{P}'}(X) = Q_{\mathcal{P}}(X)$; moreover the $\hat{\mathcal{P}}$-topology and $\hat{\mathcal{P}}'$-topology coincide;*
4. *The topology generated by $\hat{\mathcal{P}}$ on $Q_{\mathcal{P}}(X)$ is finer than the topology of uniform convergence on bounded subsets of X.*

Definition 1.10 *If $(X, \mathcal{P})$ is a locally convex space and $T \in Q_{\mathcal{P}}(X)$ we denote by $r_{\mathcal{P}}(T)$ the radius of boundness of operator T in $Q_{\mathcal{P}}(X)$, i.e.*

$$r_{\mathcal{P}}(T) = \inf\{\alpha > 0 \ \mid \ \alpha^{-1}T \text{ generates a bounded semigroup in } Q_{\mathcal{P}}(X)\}.$$

We have said that $r_{\mathcal{P}}(T)$ is the $\mathcal{P}$-spectral radius of the operator T.

Proposition 1.11 ([1]) *If X is a locally convex space and $\mathcal{P} \in \mathcal{C}(X)$, then for each $T \in Q_{\mathcal{P}}(X)$ we have*

$$r_{\mathcal{P}}(T) = \sup\{\limsup_{n\to\infty} \left(\hat{p}\left(T^n\right)\right)^{1/n} \mid p \in \mathcal{P}\}.$$

Proposition 1.12 ([16]) *If X is a locally convex space and $\mathcal{P} \in \mathcal{C}(X)$, then for each $T \in Q_{\mathcal{P}}(X)$ we have:*

1. *$r_{\mathcal{P}}(T) \geq 0$ and $r_{\mathcal{P}}(\lambda T) = \mid \lambda \mid r_{\mathcal{P}}(T), (\forall)\, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, where by convention $0\infty = \infty$;*
2. *$r_{\mathcal{P}}(T) < +\infty$ if and only if $T \in (Q_{\mathcal{P}}(X))_0$;*
3. *$r_{\mathcal{P}}(T) = \inf\left\{\lambda > 0 \mid \lim\limits_{n\to\infty} \frac{T^n}{\lambda^n} = 0\right\}$;*
4. *$r_{\mathcal{P}}(T) = \sup\{\lim\limits_{n\to\infty} \left(\hat{p}\left(T^n\right)\right)^{1/n} \mid p \in \mathcal{P}\} =$*
   $= \sup\{\inf\limits_{n\geq 1} \left(\hat{p}\left(T^n\right)\right)^{1/n} \mid p \in \mathcal{P}\}$;

Proposition 1.13 ([16]) *Let X be a locally convex space and $\mathcal{P} \in \mathcal{C}(X)$.*

1. *If $T \in (Q_{\mathcal{P}}(X))_0$, then*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{T^n}{\lambda^n} = 0, (\forall) \mid \lambda \mid > r_{\mathcal{P}}(T);$$

2. *If $T \in (Q_{\mathcal{P}}(X))_0$ and $0 < \mid \lambda \mid < r\ _{\mathcal{P}}(T)$, then the set $\left\{\frac{T^n}{\lambda^n}\right\}_{n\geq 1}$ is unbounded.*
3. *For each $T \in Q_{\mathcal{P}}(X)$ and every $n > 0$ we have $r_{\mathcal{P}}(T^n) = r_{\mathcal{P}}(T)^n$.*

Proposition 1.14 ([16]) *If $(X, \mathcal{P})$ is a sequentially complete locally convex space, then $Q_{\mathcal{P}}(X)$ is a sequentially complete l.m.c.-algebra for all $\mathcal{P} \in \mathcal{C}(X)$.*

Proposition 1.15 ([16]) *Let X be a sequentially complete locally convex space and $\mathcal{P} \in \mathcal{C}(X)$. If $T \in (Q_{\mathcal{P}}(X))_0$ and $\mid \lambda \mid > r_{\mathcal{P}}(T)$, then the Neumann series $\sum\limits_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{T^n}{\lambda^{n+1}}$ converges to $R(\lambda, T)$(in $Q_{\mathcal{P}}(X)$) and $R(\lambda, T) \in Q_{\mathcal{P}}(X)$.*

Proposition 1.16 ([16]) *Let X be a sequentially complete locally convex space and $\mathcal{P} \in C(X)$. If $T \in Q_{\mathcal{P}}(X)$, then $|\sigma(Q_{\mathcal{P}}, T)| = r_{\mathcal{P}}(T)$.*

Definition 1.17 *If $(X, \mathcal{P})$ is a locally convex space and $T \in (Q_{\mathcal{P}}(X))_0$ we denote by $r^0_{\mathcal{P}}(T)$ the radius of boundness of the operator T in $(Q_{\mathcal{P}}(X))_0$.*

We say that $r^0_{\mathcal{P}}(T)$ is the $\mathcal{P}$-spectral radius of the operator T in algebra $(Q_{\mathcal{P}}(X))_0$.

Remark 1.18 *If $(X, \mathcal{P})$ is a locally convex space and $T \in (Q_{\mathcal{P}}(X))_0$ then $r^0_{\mathcal{P}}(T) = r_{\mathcal{P}}(T)$. Moreover, $r^0_{\mathcal{P}}(T)$ has all the properties of the spectral radius $r_{\mathcal{P}}(T)$ presented above.*

Lemma 1.19 ([16]) *If $\mathcal{P}$ a calibration on X, then $B_{\mathcal{P}}(X)$ is a unitary normed algebra with respect to the norm $\|\bullet\|_{\mathcal{P}}$ defined by*

$$\|T\|_{\mathcal{P}} = \sup\{m_{pp}(T) \mid p \in \mathcal{P}\}, (\forall)\, T \in B_{\mathcal{P}}(X).$$

Corollary 1.20 ([16]) *If $\mathcal{P} \in \mathcal{C}(X)$, then for each $T \in B_{\mathcal{P}}(X)$ we have*

$$\|T\|_{\mathcal{P}} = \inf\{M > 0 \mid \ p\,(Tx) \leq Mp\,(x)\,, (\forall)\, x \in X, (\forall)\ p \in \mathcal{P}\}.$$

Proposition 1.21 ([8]) *Let X be a locally convex space and $\mathcal{P} \in \mathcal{C}(X)$. Then:*

1. *$B_{\mathcal{P}}(X)$ is a subalgebra of $\mathcal{L}(X)$;*
2. *$(B_{\mathcal{P}}(X), \|\bullet\|_{\mathcal{P}})$ is unitary normed algebra;*
3. *for each $\mathcal{P}' \in \mathcal{C}(X)$ with the property $\mathcal{P} \sim \mathcal{P}'$, we have*

$$B_{\mathcal{P}}(X) = B_{\mathcal{P}'}(X) \text{ and } \|\bullet\|_{\mathcal{P}} = \|\bullet\|_{\mathcal{P}'}.$$

Proposition 1.22 ([2]) *Let X be a locally convex space and $\mathcal{P} \in \mathcal{C}(X)$. Then:*

1. *the topology given by the norm $\|\bullet\|_{\mathcal{P}}$ on the algebra $B_{\mathcal{P}}(X)$ is finer than the topology of uniform convergence;*
2. *if $(T_n)_n$ is a Cauchy sequences in $(B_{\mathcal{P}}(X), \|\bullet\|_{\mathcal{P}})$ which converges punctually to an operator T, we have $T \in B_{\mathcal{P}}(X)$;*
3. *the algebra $(B_{\mathcal{P}}(X), \|\bullet\|_{\mathcal{P}})$ is complete if X is sequentially complete.*

Proposition 1.23 ([8]) *Let $(X, \mathcal{P})$ be a locally convex space. An operator $T \in Q_{\mathcal{P}}(X)$ is bounded in the algebra $Q_{\mathcal{P}}(X)$ if and only if there exists some calibration $\mathcal{P}' \in \mathcal{C}(X)$ such that $\mathcal{P} \approx \mathcal{P}'$ and $T \in B_{\mathcal{P}'}(X)$.*

Proposition 1.24 ([2]) *If $(X, \mathcal{P})$ is a sequentially complete locally convex space and $T \in B_{\mathcal{P}}(X)$, then the set $\sigma(B_{\mathcal{P}}, T)$ is compact.*

Lemma 1.25 *If $\mathcal{P}$ is a calibration on a locally convex space X, then*

$$\|T\|_{\mathcal{P}} = \sup\{\hat{p}(T) \mid p \in \mathcal{P}\}, (\forall)\, T \in B_{\mathcal{P}}(X).$$

Corollary 1.26 *If X is a locally convex algebra $\mathcal{P} \in \mathcal{C}(X)$, then for each $T \in B_{\mathcal{P}}(X)$ the inequality $r_{\mathcal{P}}(T) \leq \|T\|_{\mathcal{P}'}$ holds for each calibration $\mathcal{P}' \in \mathcal{C}(X)$ such that $\mathcal{P} \approx \mathcal{P}'$ and $T \in B_{\mathcal{P}'}(X)$.*

Lemma 1.27 ([17]) *Let X be a sequentially complete locally convex space and $\mathcal{P} \in \mathcal{C}(X)$. Then, for each $T \in B_{\mathcal{P}}(X)$ we have*

$$\mid \sigma(B_{\mathcal{P}}, T) \mid \leq \liminf_{n \to \infty} \|T^n\|_{\mathcal{P}}^{1/n} \leq r(B_{\mathcal{P}}, T)$$

Proposition 1.28 ([7]) *Let $(X, \mathcal{P} = (p_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Lambda})$. If $T \in Q_{\mathcal{P}}(X)$, such that the set $\sigma(Q_{\mathcal{P}}, T)$ is bounded, then there exists some calibration $\mathcal{P}' = (p'_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Lambda} \in \mathcal{C}(X)$ with the property:*
(A) For all $\alpha \in \Lambda$ there exists $m_\alpha, M_\alpha > 0$ such that

$$m_\alpha p_\alpha(x) \leq p'_\alpha(x) \leq M_\alpha p_\alpha(x), (\forall)\, x \in X.$$

Moreover, $T \in B_{\mathcal{P}'}(X)$.

Definition 1.29 *Given a linear operator T on a topological vector space X, we consider*

$$r_{lb}(T) = \inf\left\{\nu > 0 \left| \frac{T^n}{\nu^n} \to 0 \text{ uniformly on some zero neigborhood} \right.\right\}$$

Lemma 1.30 ([10]) *If T_1 and T_2 are locally bounded operators on X, then there exists a calibration $\mathcal{P}'$ on X such that $T_1, T_2 \in B_{\mathcal{P}'}(X)$.*

Proposition 1.31 ([17]) *If X is a sequentially complete locally convex space and T is locally bounded, then $\sigma(T) = \sigma_{lb}(T)$.*

Proposition 1.32 ([17]) *If X is a sequentially complete locally convex space and T is locally bounded, then*

$$r_{lb}(T) = |\sigma(T)| = |\sigma_{lb}(T)|\,.$$

Proposition 1.33 ([17]) *If X is a sequentially complete locally convex space and T is locally bounded, then $\sigma(T)$ is compact.*

Corollary 1.34 ([17]) *Let X be a sequentially complete locally convex space and $T \in \mathcal{LB}(X)$. If $\lambda \in \rho(T)$ and $d\,(\lambda)$ is the distance from λ to the set $\sigma(T)$, then*

$$\left\| (\lambda I - T)^{-1} \right\|_{\mathcal{P}} \geq \frac{1}{d\,(\lambda)},$$

whenever $\mathcal{P} \in \mathcal{C}(X)$, such that $(\lambda I - T)^{-1}, T \in B_{\mathcal{P}}(X)$.

Definition 1.35 *Let $(X, \mathcal{P})$ be a locally convex space. The Waelbroeck resolvent set of an operator $T \in Q_{\mathcal{P}}(X)$, denoted by $\rho_W(Q_{\mathcal{P}}, T)$, is the subset of elements of $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{C}_\infty = \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$, for which there exists a neighborhood $V \in \mathcal{V}_{(\lambda_0)}$ such that:*

1. *the operator $\lambda I - T$ is invertible in $Q_{\mathcal{P}}(X)$ for all $\lambda \in V \backslash \{\infty\}$*
2. *the set $\{\ (\ \lambda I - T\ )^{-1} \mid \lambda \in V \backslash \{\infty\}\ \}$ is bounded in $Q_{\mathcal{P}}(X)$.*

The Waelbroeck spectrum of T, denoted by $\sigma_W(Q_{\mathcal{P}}, T)$, is the complement of the set $\rho_W(Q_{\mathcal{P}}, T)$ in $\mathbb{C}_\infty$. It is obvious that $\sigma(Q_{\mathcal{P}}, T) \subset \sigma_W(Q_{\mathcal{P}}, T)$.

Remark 1.36 *Let $(X, \mathcal{P})$ be a locally convex space. If $T \in Q_{\mathcal{P}}(X))$, then $\rho_W(Q_{\mathcal{P}'}, T) = \rho_W(Q_{\mathcal{P}}, T)$ for all $\mathcal{P}' \in \mathcal{C}(X)$ such that $\mathcal{P} \approx \mathcal{P}'$.*

Definition 1.37 *Let $(X, \mathcal{P})$ be a locally convex space. An operator $T \in Q_{\mathcal{P}}(X)$ is regular if $\infty \notin \sigma_W(Q_{\mathcal{P}}, T)$, i.e. there exists some $t > 0$ such that:*

1. *the operator $\lambda I - T$ is invertible in $Q_{\mathcal{P}}(X)$, for all $\mid \lambda \mid > t$*
2. *the set $\{R\,(\lambda, T) \mid\mid \lambda \mid > t\}$ is bounded in $Q_{\mathcal{P}}(X)$*

2 Bounded operators in $Q_{\mathcal{P}}(X)$

In the next sections we assume that X will be sequentially complete locally convex space.

Lemma 2.1 *Let $(X, \mathcal{P})$ be a locally convex space and $T \in (Q_{\mathcal{P}}(X))_0$ such that $r_{\mathcal{P}}(T) < 1$. Then the operator $I - T$ is invertible and $I - T = \sum\limits_{n=0}^{\infty} T^n$.*

Proof. Assume that $r_{\mathcal{P}}(T) < t < 1$. From proposition 1.11 results that

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} (\hat{p}(T^n))^{1/n} < t, (\forall)\ p \in \mathcal{P},$$

so for each $p \in \mathcal{P}$ there exists $n_p \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$(\hat{p}(T^n))^{1/n} \leq \sup_{n\geq n_p} (\hat{p}(T^n))^{1/n} < t, (\forall)\ n \geq n_p.$$

This relation implies that the series $\sum\limits_{n=0}^{\infty} \hat{p}(T^n)$ converges, so

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \hat{p}(T^n) = 0, (\forall)\ p \in \mathcal{P},$$

therefore $\lim\limits_{n\to\infty} T^n = 0$. Since the algebra $Q_{\mathcal{P}}(X)$ is sequentially complete results that the series $\sum\limits_{n=0}^{\infty} T^n$ converges. Moreover,

$$(I-T)\sum_{n=0}^{m} T^n = \sum_{n=0}^{m} T^n(I-T) = I - T^{m+1},$$

so

$$(I-T)\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} T^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} T^n(I-T) = I,$$

which implies that $I-T$ is invertible and $I-T = \sum\limits_{n=0}^{\infty} T^n$. ∎

Lemma 2.2 *Let $(X, \mathcal{P})$ be a sequentially complete locally convex space. If $T \in (Q_{\mathcal{P}}(X))_0$ then*

1. *the application $\lambda \to R(\lambda, T)$ is holomorphic on $\rho_W(Q_{\mathcal{P}}, T)$;*
2. *$\frac{d^n}{d\lambda^n} R(\lambda, T) = (-1)^n n! R(\lambda, T)^{n+1}$, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$;*
3. *$\lim\limits_{|\lambda|\to\infty} R(\lambda, T) = 0$ and $\lim\limits_{|\lambda|\to\infty} R(1, \lambda^{-1}T) = \lim\limits_{|\lambda|\to\infty} \lambda R(1, T) = I$;*
4. *$\sigma_W(Q_{\mathcal{P}}, T) \neq \varnothing$.*

Proof. 1) If $\lambda_0 \in \rho_W(Q_{\mathcal{P}}, T)$ then there exists $V \in \mathcal{V}_{(\lambda_0)}$ with the properties (1) and (2) from definition (1.35). Since for every $\lambda \in V\backslash\{\infty\}$ we have

$$R(\lambda, T) - R(\lambda_0, T) = (\lambda_0 - \lambda) R(\lambda, T) R(\lambda_0, T)$$

and the set $\{R(\lambda, T) |\ \lambda \in V\backslash\{\infty\}\}$ is bounded in $Q_{\mathcal{P}}(X)$ results that the application $\lambda \to R(\lambda, T)$ is continuous in λ_0, so

$$\lim_{\lambda\to\lambda_0} \frac{R(\lambda, T) - R(\lambda_0, T)}{\lambda - \lambda_0} = -R^2(\lambda_0, T)$$

If $\lambda_0 = \infty$ then, there exists some neighborhood $V \in \mathcal{V}_{(\infty)}$ such that the application $\lambda \to R(\lambda, T)$ is defined and bounded on $V\backslash\{\infty\}$. Moreover, this application it is holomorphic and bounded on $V\backslash\{\infty\}$, which implies that it is holomorphic at ∞.

Therefore, the application $\lambda \to R(\lambda, T)$ is holomorphic on $\rho_W(Q_{\mathcal{P}}, T)$.

2) Results from the proof of (1).

3) For each $\lambda \in \rho_W(Q_{\mathcal{P}}, T)$ we have

$$\lambda^{-1}(I + TR(\lambda, T))(\lambda I - T) = I,$$

so

$$R(\lambda, T) = \lambda^{-1}(I + TR(\lambda, T)). \tag{1}$$

If $V \in \mathcal{V}_{(\lambda_0)}$ satisfies the condition of the definition (1.35), then the set

$$\{TR(\lambda, T) |\ \lambda \in V\backslash\{\infty\}\}$$

is bounded, so from relation (1) results that $\lim\limits_{|\lambda| \to \infty} R(\lambda, T) = 0$.

From equality $R(\lambda, T) = \lambda^{-1}R(1, \lambda^{-1}T), \lambda \neq 0$, and relation (1) results that

$$R(1, \lambda^{-1}T) = I + TR(\lambda, T),$$

so

$$\lim_{|\lambda| \to \infty} R(1, \lambda^{-1}T) = \lim_{|\lambda| \to \infty} (I + TR(\lambda, T)) = I$$

4) Assume that $\sigma_W(Q_{\mathcal{P}}, T) = \varnothing$. Then the application $\lambda \to R(\lambda, T)$ is holomorphic on $\mathbb{C}$ and converges to 0 at infinity. From Liouville Theorem results that $R(\lambda, T) = 0,\ (\forall)\, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. Therefore, $I = (\lambda I - T)R(\lambda, T) = 0$, which is not true.

■

Proposition 2.3 *Let $(X, \mathcal{P})$ be a locally convex space. Then an operator $T \in Q_{\mathcal{P}}(X)$ is regular if and only if $T \in (Q_{\mathcal{P}}(X))_0$.*

Proof. Assume that $T \in (Q_{\mathcal{P}}(X))_0$. It follows from proposition (1.23) that there is some calibration $\mathcal{P}' \in \mathcal{C}(X)$ such that $\mathcal{P} \approx \mathcal{P}'$ and $T \in B_{\mathcal{P}'}(X)$. Moreover, $Q_{\mathcal{P}}(X) = Q_{\mathcal{P}'}(X)$.

If $|\ \lambda\ |> 2\,\|T\|_{\mathcal{P}'}$, then the Neumann series $\sum\limits_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{T^n}{\lambda^{n+1}}$ converges in $B_{\mathcal{P}'}(X)$ and its sum is $R\,(\lambda, T)$. This means that the operator $\lambda I - T$ is invertible in $Q_{\mathcal{P}}(X)$ for all $|\ \lambda\ |> 2\,\|T\ \|_{\mathcal{P}'}$. Moreover, for each $\epsilon > 0$ there exists an index $n_\epsilon \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\left\| R\,(\lambda, T) - \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{T^k}{\lambda^{k+1}} \right\|_{\mathcal{P}'} < \epsilon, (\forall)\, n \geq n_\epsilon,$$

which implies that for each $n \geq n_\epsilon$ we have

$$\|R\,(\lambda, T)\|_{\mathcal{P}'} \leq \left\| R\,(\lambda, T) - \sum_{k=0}^{n_\epsilon} \frac{T^k}{\lambda^{k+1}} \right\|_{\mathcal{P}'} + \left\| \sum_{k=0}^{n_\epsilon} \frac{T^k}{\lambda^{k+1}} \right\|_{P'} <$$

$$<\epsilon + \mid \lambda \mid^{-1} \sum_{k=0}^{n_\epsilon} \left\| \frac{T^k}{\lambda^k} \right\|_{\mathcal{P}'} < \epsilon + (2 \left\| T \right\|_{\mathcal{P}'})^{-1} \sum_{k=0}^{n_\epsilon} 2^{-k} < \epsilon + (\|T\|_{\mathcal{P}'})^{-1}.$$

Since $\epsilon > 0$ is arbitrarily chosen, we have that

$$\|R(\lambda, T)\|_{\mathcal{P}'} < (\|T\|_{\mathcal{P}'})^{-1}, (\forall) \mid \lambda \mid > 2 \|T\|_{\mathcal{P}'}$$

From definition of norm $\| \ \|_{\mathcal{P}'}$ it follows that

$$\hat{p'}(R(\lambda, T)) < (\|T\|_{\mathcal{P}'})^{-1},$$

for any $p \in \mathcal{P}'$ and for each $\mid \lambda \mid > 2 \|T\|_{\mathcal{P}'}$, which means that the set

$$\{R(\lambda, T) \mid \ \mid \lambda \mid > 2 \|T\|_{\mathcal{P}'}\}$$

is bounded in $Q_{\mathcal{P}}(X) = Q_{\mathcal{P}'}(X)$. Therefore, T is regular.

Now suppose that $T \in Q_{\mathcal{P}}(X)$ is regular, but it is not bounded in $Q_{\mathcal{P}}(X)$. By propositions 1.12 and 1.16 this means that

$$\mid \sigma_W(Q_{\mathcal{P}}, T) \mid = \mid \sigma(Q_{\mathcal{P}}, T) \mid = r_{\mathcal{P}}(T) = \infty,$$

which contradicts the supposition we have made. Therefore, T is a bounded element of $Q_{\mathcal{P}}(X)$.

■

Proposition 2.4 *Let $(X, \mathcal{P})$ be a locally convex space. If $T \in (Q_{\mathcal{P}}(X))_0$, then*

$$\rho_W(Q_{\mathcal{P}}, T) = \rho(Q^0_{\mathcal{P}}, T).$$

Proof. If $\lambda_0 \in \rho(Q^0_{\mathcal{P}}, T)$ then from previous proposition results that $R(\lambda_0, T)$ is a regular element of the algebra $Q_{\mathcal{P}}(X)$, so there exists $t > 0$ for which the condition (1) and (2) of the definition 1.37 are fullfilled. Those conditions are equivalent with

1') $(\lambda - \lambda_0)^{-1} I - R(\lambda_0, T)$ is invertible in $Q_{\mathcal{P}}(X)$ for all $|\lambda - \lambda_0| < t^{-1}, \lambda \neq \lambda_0$;

2') the set

$$\{R((\lambda - \lambda_0)^{-1}, R(\lambda_0, T)) |\ |\lambda - \lambda_0| < t^{-1}, \lambda \neq \lambda_0\}$$

is bounded in $Q_{\mathcal{P}}(X)$.

From the condition (2') and lemma 2.2 results that the set

$$\{(\lambda - \lambda_0)^{-1} R((\lambda - \lambda_0)^{-1}, R(\lambda_0, T)) |\ |\lambda - \lambda_0| < t^{-1}, \lambda \neq \lambda_0\}$$

is bounded in $Q_{\mathcal{P}}(X)$. Moreover, each seminorm $\hat{p}(T), (p \in \mathcal{P})$, is submultiplicative, so the set

$$\{(\lambda - \lambda_0)^{-1} R(\lambda_0, T) R((\lambda - \lambda_0)^{-1}, R(\lambda_0, T)) |\ |\lambda - \lambda_0| < t^{-1}, \lambda \neq \lambda_0\}$$

is also bounded in $Q_{\mathcal{P}}(X)$. Since

$$(\lambda I - T)(\lambda_0 - \lambda)^{-1} R(\lambda_0, T) R((\lambda - \lambda_0)^{-1}, R(\lambda_0, T)) =$$

$$= ((\lambda_0 I - T) + (\lambda - \lambda_0)I)(\lambda_0 - \lambda)^{-1} R(\lambda_0, T) R((\lambda - \lambda_0)^{-1}, R(\lambda_0, T)) =$$

$$= (\lambda_0 - \lambda)^{-1} R((\lambda - \lambda_0)^{-1}, R(\lambda_0, T)) - R(\lambda_0, T) R((\lambda - \lambda_0)^{-1}, R(\lambda_0, T)) =$$

$$= ((\lambda_0 - \lambda)^{-1} I - R(\lambda_0, T)) R((\lambda - \lambda_0)^{-1}, R(\lambda_0, T)) = I,$$

results that

$$R(\lambda, T) = (\lambda_0 - \lambda)^{-1} R(\lambda_0, T) R((\lambda - \lambda_0)^{-1}, R(\lambda_0, T)). \tag{2}$$

Therefore, the conditions
1) $\lambda I - T$ is invertible for all $|\lambda - \lambda_0| < t^{-1}$;
2) $\{R(\lambda, T) | \; |\lambda - \lambda_0| < t^{-1}\}$ is bounded in $Q_{\mathcal{P}}(X)$,
of definition (1.35) are fullfilled, so $\lambda_0 \in \rho_W(Q_{\mathcal{P}}, T)$ and $\rho(Q^0_{\mathcal{P}}, T) \subset \rho_W(Q_{\mathcal{P}}, T)$.

Conversely, if $\lambda_0 \in \rho_W(Q_{\mathcal{P}}, T)$ there exists $K > 0$ such that
1") $\lambda I - T$ is invertible for all $|\lambda - \lambda_0| < K$;
2") $\{R(\lambda, T) | \; |\lambda - \lambda_0| < K\}$ is bounded in $Q_{\mathcal{P}}(X)$.

From the relation (2) and property (2") will result that the

$$\{(\lambda - \lambda_0)^{-1} R((\lambda - \lambda_0)^{-1}, R(\lambda_0, T)) | \; |\lambda - \lambda_0|^{-1} > K^{-1}, \lambda \neq \lambda_0\}$$

is bounded in $Q_{\mathcal{P}}(X)$, so $R(\lambda_0, T)$ is regular in $Q_{\mathcal{P}}(X)$. From previous proposition results that $R(\lambda_0, T) \in (Q_{\mathcal{P}}(X))_0$ and $\lambda_0 \in \rho(Q^0_{\mathcal{P}}, T)$. ∎

Proposition 2.5 *Let $(X, \mathcal{P})$ be a locally convex space. If $T \in (Q_{\mathcal{P}}(X))_0$ and $| \lambda_0 |> r_{\mathcal{P}}(T)$, then $\lambda_0 \in \rho(Q^0_{\mathcal{P}}, T)$.*

Proof. Proposition 1.15 implies that the series $\sum\limits_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{T^n}{\lambda_0^{n+1}}$ converges to $R(\lambda_0, T) \in Q_{\mathcal{P}}(X)$. Then, there exists ϵ>0 such that

$$D(\lambda_0, \varepsilon) = \{\lambda | |\lambda - \lambda_0| < \varepsilon\} \subset \{\mu | |\mu| > r_{\mathcal{P}}(T)\},$$

so the operator $\lambda I - T$ is invertible, for every $\lambda \in D(\lambda_0, \epsilon)$, and $(\lambda I - T)^{-1} \in Q_{\mathcal{P}}(X)$.

Now we will prove that the set $\sigma(Q_{\mathcal{P}}, R(\lambda_0, T))$ is bounded. If $| \mu |> \epsilon^{-1}$, then $| \mu |^{-1}< \epsilon$ and $\lambda_0 - \mu^{-1} \in D(\lambda_0, \epsilon)$. From previous observations results that $(\lambda_0 - \mu^{-1})I - T$ is invertible and $((\lambda_0 - \mu^{-1})I - T)^{-1} \in Q_{\mathcal{P}}(X)$.

Since

$$\mu^{-1} R\left(\lambda_0 - \mu^{-1}, T\right)(\lambda_0 I - T)(\mu I - R(\lambda_0, T)) =$$

$$= R\left(\lambda_0 - \mu^{-1}, T\right)(\lambda_0 I - T) - \mu^{-1} R\left(\lambda_0 - \mu^{-1}, T\right) =$$

$$= R\left(\lambda_0 - \mu^{-1}, T\right)(((\lambda_0 - \mu^{-1})I - T) + \mu^{-1} I) - \mu^{-1} R\left(\lambda_0 - \mu^{-1}, T\right) =$$

$$= I + \mu^{-1} R\left(\lambda_0 - \mu^{-1}, T\right) - \mu^{-1} R\left(\lambda_0 - \mu^{-1}, T\right) = I.$$

results that

$$R(\mu, R(\lambda_0, T)) = \mu^{-1} R\left(\lambda_0 - \mu^{-1}, T\right)(\lambda_0 I - T)$$

But

$$R\left(\lambda_0-\mu^{-1},T\right),(\lambda_0 I-T)\in Q_{\mathcal{P}}(X),$$

so $R(\mu,R(\lambda_0,T))\in Q_{\mathcal{P}}(X)$, for all $\mid\mu\mid>\epsilon^{-1}$.

Therefore, $\sigma(Q_{\mathcal{P}},R(\lambda_0,T))\subset D\left(0,\epsilon^{-1}\right)$ and from proposition 1.28 results that there $\mathcal{P}'\in\mathcal{C}(X)$ such that $R(\lambda_0,T)\in B_{\mathcal{P}'}(X)$ and $\mathcal{P}'\approx\mathcal{P}$. This implies that $Q_{\mathcal{P}'}(X)=Q_{\mathcal{P}}(X)$ and $R(\lambda_0,T)\in(Q_{\mathcal{P}}(X))_0$ (proposition 1.23). ∎

Corollary 2.6 *Let X be a locally convex space and $\mathcal{P}\in\mathcal{C}(X)$. If $T\in(Q_{\mathcal{P}}(X))_0$ then*

$$|\sigma(Q_{\mathcal{P}},T)|=|\sigma_W(Q_{\mathcal{P}},T)|=r_{\mathcal{P}}(T)$$

Proof. Is a direct consequence of propositions 2.4, 2.5 and 1.16 ∎

Definition 2.7 *Let $(X,\mathcal{P})$ be a locally convex space. An operator $T\in Q_{\mathcal{P}}(X)$ is $\mathcal{P}$-quasnilpotent if $r_{\mathcal{P}}(T)=0$.*

Remark 2.8

1. *If $T\in Q_{\mathcal{P}}(X)$ is $\mathcal{P}$-quasnilpotent, then $T\in(Q_{\mathcal{P}}(X))_0$ and $\sigma_W(Q_{\mathcal{P}},T)=\{0\}$.*
2. *$T\in Q_{\mathcal{P}}(X)$ is $\mathcal{P}$-quasnilpotent if and only if $\sigma(Q_{\mathcal{P}},T)=\{0\}$.*

3 A functional calculus

L.Waelbroeck presented before a functional calculus for regular operator on quasi-complet locally convex space. In this section we prove that it can be developed a functional calculus for the bounded elements of algebra $Q_{\mathcal{P}}(X)$ (which by previous section are regular element of this algebra), when $(X,\mathcal{P})$ ($\mathcal{P}\in\mathcal{C}(X)$) is a sequentially complete locally convex algebra. We will use some techniques from I. Colojoara [3] and L.Waelbroeck [19].

Let $\mathcal{P}\in C(X)$ be arbitrary chosen and $D\subset\mathbb{C}$ a relatively compact open set. Denote by $\mathcal{O}(D,Q_{\mathcal{P}}(X))$ the unitary algebra of the functions $f:D\to Q_{\mathcal{P}}(X)$ which are holomorphic on D and continuous on $\overline{D}$.

Lemma 3.1 *If $p\in\mathcal{P}$, then the application $\mid f\mid_{p,D}$: $\mathcal{O}(D,Q_{\mathcal{P}}(X))\to R$ given by relation,*

$$\mid f\mid_{p,D}=\sup_{z\in D}p(f(z)),(\forall)\,f\in\mathcal{O}(D,Q_{\mathcal{P}}(X)),$$

is a submultiplicative seminorm on $\mathcal{O}(D,Q_{\mathcal{P}}(X))$.

If we denote by $\tau_{\mathcal{P},D}$ the topology defined by the family $\{\mid f\mid_{p,D}\mid p\in\mathcal{P}\}$ on $\mathcal{O}(D,Q_{\mathcal{P}}(X))$, then $(\mathcal{O}(D,Q_{\mathcal{P}}(X)),\tau_{\mathcal{P},D})$ is a l.m.c.-algebra.

Let $K\subset\mathbb{C}$ be a compact set, arbitrary chosen. We define the set

$$\mathcal{O}(K,Q_{\mathcal{P}}(X))=\cup\{\mathcal{O}(D,Q_{\mathcal{P}}(X))|\ D\subset\mathbf{C}\text{ is relatively compact open set }\}$$

If $D_1, D_2 \subset \mathbf{C}$ are relatively compact open sets such that $K \subset D_i$, $i = \overline{1,2}$, and $f_i \in \mathcal{O}(D_i, Q_{\mathcal{P}}(X))$, $i = \overline{1,2}$, we say that $f_1 \backsim f_2$ if and only if there exists an open set D such that $K \subset D \subset D_1 \cap D_2$ and $f_1|_D = f_2|_D$. Let denote by $\mathcal{A}(K, Q_{\mathcal{P}}(X))$ be the set of the equivalence classes of $\mathcal{O}(K, Q_{\mathcal{P}}(X))$ in respect with this equivalence relation. It is easily to see that $\mathcal{A}(K, Q_{\mathcal{P}}(X))$ is a unitary algebra and the elements of this algebra are usually called germs of the holomorphic functions from K to $Q_{\mathcal{P}}(X)$.

Remark 3.2 *We consider the following notations:*

1. *$\tilde{f}$ is the germ of the holomorphic function $f \in \mathcal{O}(D, Q_{\mathcal{P}}(X))$.*
2. *φ is the canonical morphism $\mathcal{O}(K, Q_{\mathcal{P}}(X)) \to \mathcal{A}(K, Q_{\mathcal{P}}(X))$;*
3. *φ_D is the restriction of φ to $\mathcal{O}(D, Q_{\mathcal{P}}(X))$.*

Remark 3.3

1. *Since we can identifies $\mathbb{C}$ with $\mathbb{C}I = \{\lambda I \mid \lambda \in \mathbb{C}\}$, the algebras $\mathcal{O}(K, \mathbb{C})$ and $\mathcal{A}(K, \mathbb{C})$ can be considerate subalgebras of $\mathcal{O}(K, Q_{\mathcal{P}}(X))$, respectively $\mathcal{A}(K, Q_{\mathcal{P}}(X))$. Therefore, we write $\mathcal{O}(K)$ and $\mathcal{A}(K)$ instead of $\mathcal{O}(K, \mathbb{C})$ and $\mathcal{A}(K, \mathbb{C})$*
2. *If $\tau_{\mathcal{P},ind} = \lim\limits_{\to D} \tau_{\mathcal{P},D}$ (inductive limit), then $(\mathcal{A}(K, Q_{\mathcal{P}}(X)), \tau_{\mathcal{P}\ ind})$ is a l.m.c.-algebra.*

We need the following lemma from complex analysis.

Lemma 3.4 *For each compact set $K \subset \mathbb{C}$ and each relatively compact open set $D \supset K$ there exists some open set G such that:*

1. *$K \subset G \subset \overline{G} \subset D$;*
2. *G has a finite number of conex components $(G_i)_{i=\overline{1,n}}$, the closure of which are pairwise disjoint;*
3. *the boundary ∂G_i of $G_i, i = \overline{1,n}$, consists of a finite positive number of closed rectifiable Jordan curves $(\Gamma_{ij})_{j=\overline{1,m_i}}$, no two of which intersect;*
4. *$K \cap \Gamma_{ij} = \varnothing$, for each $i = \overline{1,n}$ and every $j = \overline{1,m_i}$.*

Definition 3.5 *If the sets K and D are like in the previous lemma, then an open set G is called Cauchy domain for pair (K, D) if it satisfies the properties (1)-(4). The boundary*

$$\Gamma = \cup_{i=\overline{1,n}} \cup_{j=\overline{1,m_i}} \Gamma_{ij}$$

of G is called Cauchy boundary for pair (K, D).

Theorem 3.6 *If $\mathcal{P} \in \mathcal{C}_0(X)$ and $T \in (Q_{\mathcal{P}}(X))_0$, then for each relatively compact open set $D \supset \sigma_W(Q_{\mathcal{P}}, T)$ there exists an application*

$$\mathcal{F}_{T,D} : \mathcal{O}(D, Q_{\mathcal{P}}(X)) \to Q_{\mathcal{P}}(X)$$

with the properties:

1. *$\mathcal{F}_{T,D}$ is continuous and linear;*

2. *$\mathcal{F}_{T,D}\left(k_S\right) = S$, where $k_S \equiv S$;*

3. *$\mathcal{F}_{T,D}\left(id_I\right) = T$, where $id_I(\lambda) = \lambda I$, for every $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$.*

Proof. Let Γ be a Cauchy boundary for the pair $(\sigma_W(Q_{\mathcal{P}}, T), D)$. Then the integral

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int\limits_{\Gamma} f\left(\lambda\right) R\left(\lambda, T\right) d\lambda, (\forall)\, f \in \mathcal{O}(D, Q_{\mathcal{P}}(X)),$$

exists like Stieltjes integral, since $Q_{\mathcal{P}}(X)$ is a sequentially complete l.m.c.-algebra and the applications $t \rightsquigarrow f(\omega(t))R(\omega(t), T)$ are continuous on $[0,1]$ for a continuous parametrization ω of Γ.

Moreover, if Γ_1 and Γ_2 are Cauchy boundaries for pair $(\sigma_W(Q_{\mathcal{P}}, T), D)$ then

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int\limits_{\Gamma_1} f\left(z\right) R\left(\lambda, T\right) d\lambda = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int\limits_{\Gamma_2} f\left(\lambda\right) R\left(\lambda, T\right) d\lambda, (\forall)\, f \in \mathcal{O}(D, Q_{\mathcal{P}}(X)),$$

therefore the application $\mathcal{F}_{T,D} : \mathcal{O}(K, Q_{\mathcal{P}}(X)) \to Q_{\mathcal{P}}(X)$ given by formula

$$\mathcal{F}_{T,D}(f) = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int\limits_{\Gamma} f\left(\lambda\right) R\left(\lambda, T\right) dz, (\forall)\, f \in \mathcal{O}(D, Q_{\mathcal{P}}(X)),$$

is well defined. Now we prove that $\mathcal{F}_{T,D}$ has the properties (1)-(3).

The linearity is obvious. For every $p \in \mathcal{P}$ and every $f \in \mathcal{O}(D, Q_{\mathcal{P}}(X))$ we have

$$\hat{p}(\mathcal{F}_{T,D}(f)) \leq \frac{L(\Gamma)}{2\pi}\sup_{\lambda\in\Gamma}\hat{p}(R\left(\lambda, T\right))\sup_{\lambda\in\Gamma}\hat{p}(f(\lambda)) \leq \frac{L(\Gamma)}{2\pi}\sup_{\lambda\in\Gamma}\hat{p}(R\left(\lambda, T\right)) \mid f \mid_{p,D},$$

where $L(\Gamma)$ is the lenght of Γ, which implies the continuity of application $\mathcal{F}_{T,D}$.

Let $r > r_{\mathcal{P}}(T)$ and $\Gamma_r = \{z \in C| \; |z| = r\}$. For each $\lambda \in \Gamma_r$ we have $r_{\mathcal{P}}(\frac{T}{\lambda}) < 1$, so from lemma 2.1 results that

$$R\left(\lambda, T\right) = \lambda^{-1}(I - \frac{T}{\lambda}) = \lambda^{-1}\sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\left(\frac{T}{\lambda}\right)^n = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\frac{T^n}{\lambda^{n+1}}$$

This observation implies that

$$\mathcal{F}_{T,D}\left(k_S\right) = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int\limits_{\Gamma} k_S\left(\lambda\right) R\left(\lambda, T\right) d\lambda = \frac{S}{2\pi i}\sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}}T^n\int\limits_{\Gamma}\frac{d\lambda}{\lambda^{n+1}} = S$$

$$\mathcal{F}_{T,D}\left(id_I\right) = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int\limits_{\Gamma} \lambda R\left(\lambda, T\right) d\lambda = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}}T^n\int\limits_{\Gamma}\frac{d\lambda}{\lambda^{n}} = T.$$

■

Corollary 3.7 *If $\mathcal{P} \in \mathcal{C}_0(X)$ and $T \in (Q_{\mathcal{P}}(X))_0$, then there exists an application $\mathcal{F}_T : \mathcal{A}(\sigma_W(Q_{\mathcal{P}}, T), Q_{\mathcal{P}}(X)) \to Q_{\mathcal{P}}(X)$ which satisfies the conditions:*

1. *$\mathcal{F}_T$ is continuous and linear;*
2. *$\mathcal{F}_T\left(\tilde{k}_S\right) = S$, where $\tilde{k}_T$ is the germ of the function $k_S \equiv S$;*
3. *$\mathcal{F}_T\left(\widetilde{id}_I\right) = T$, where $\widetilde{id}_I$ is the germ of the function $id_I(\lambda) = \lambda I$, for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$*

Proof. If $\tilde{f} \in \mathcal{A}(\sigma_W(Q_{\mathcal{P}}, T))$, then we consider

$$\mathcal{F}_T(\tilde{f}) = \mathcal{F}_{T,D}\left(f\right), (\forall)\, \tilde{f} \in \mathcal{A}(\sigma_W(Q_{\mathcal{P}}, T)),$$

where $f \in \mathcal{O}(D, Q_{\mathcal{P}}, T))$ is an element of equivalence class $\tilde{f}$. It is obvious that the definition of $\mathcal{F}_{T,D}\left(f\right)$ is independent by the function f and $\mathcal{F}_T\left(\tilde{f}\right)$ is linear. Since $\mathcal{F}_{T,D} = \mathcal{F}_T \circ \varphi_D$ and $\mathcal{F}_{T,D}$ is continuous results that $\mathcal{F}_T$ is continuous.

The properties (2) and (3) results directly from the previous theorem. ■

Corollary 3.8 *If $\mathcal{P} \in \mathcal{C}_0(X)$ and $T \in (Q_{\mathcal{P}}(X))_0$, then there exists an unique unitary continuous morphism $F_T : \mathcal{A}(\sigma_W(Q_{\mathcal{P}}, T)) \to Q_{\mathcal{P}}(X)$ which satisfies the condition $F_T\left(\widetilde{id}\right) = T$, where id is the identity function on $\mathbb{C}$.*

Proof. The application F_T and $F_{T,D}$ are defined in the same way like the application $\mathcal{F}_T$ and $\mathcal{F}_{T,D}$. It is easily to see that F_T and $F_{T,D}$ are linear and continuous. Moreover, F_T is unitary and $F_T\left(\widetilde{id}\right) = T$.

We prove that $\mathcal{F}_T$ is multiplicative. Let $\tilde{f}, \tilde{g} \in \mathcal{A}(\sigma_W(Q_{\mathcal{P}}, T))$ and $f \in \tilde{f}$ respectively $g \in \tilde{g}$. We consider that G and G' are two Cauchy domains with the property $\overline{G'} \subset G$. If Γ and Γ' are the boundaries of G and G' then

$$F_T(\tilde{f})F_T\left(\tilde{g}\right) = -\frac{1}{(2\pi i)^2}\int_{\Gamma}\int_{\Gamma'} f(\lambda)g(\omega)R(\lambda, T)R(\omega, T)d\lambda d\omega$$

Since $\overline{G'} \subset G$, results that $\Gamma \cap \Gamma' = \Phi$, so

$$\omega - \lambda \neq 0,\ (\forall)\lambda \in \Gamma, (\forall)\omega \in \Gamma'.$$

Therefore using the equality

$$R(\lambda, T) - R(\omega, T) = (\omega - \lambda)R(\lambda, T)R(\omega, T)$$

we have

$$F_T\left(\tilde{f}\right)F_T\left(\tilde{g}\right) = \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^2}\int_{\Gamma} f(\lambda)R(\lambda, T)\left(\int_{\Gamma'}\frac{g(\omega)}{\omega - \lambda}d\omega\right)d\lambda +$$

$$+\frac{1}{(2\pi i)^2}\int_{\Gamma'} g(\omega)R(\omega, T)\left(\int_{\Gamma}\frac{f(\lambda)}{\lambda - \omega}d\lambda\right)d\omega =$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} f(\lambda) g(\lambda) R(\lambda, T) d\omega = F_T \left(\tilde{f}\tilde{g} \right)$$

Assume that $F : \mathcal{A}(\sigma_W(Q_{\mathcal{P}}, T)) \to Q_{\mathcal{P}}(X)$ is an unitary continuous morphism which satisfies the condition $F\left(\widetilde{id}\right) = T$. We prove that $F_T = F$.

Let $\tilde{f} \in \mathcal{A}(\sigma_W(Q_{\mathcal{P}}, T))$, $D \supset \sigma_W(Q_{\mathcal{P}}, T)$ a relatively compact open set, $f \in \mathcal{O}(D)$, such that $f \in \tilde{f}$, and G a Cauchy domain for $(\sigma_W(Q_{\mathcal{P}}, T), D)$ with the boundary Γ. For every $n \in N^*$ and $z_1, ..., z_n \in \Gamma$ we consider the function $f_n : G \to \mathbb{C}$ given by the relation

$$f_n(\omega) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{f(z_j)(z_{j+1} - z_j)}{z_j - \omega}, (\forall)\, \omega \in G. \tag{3}$$

Then,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} f_n(\omega) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{f(z)}{z - \omega} dz = f(\omega)$$

and since the convergence is uniformly on each compact set $K \subset G$, results that $\lim_{\tau_{ind}} \tilde{f}_n = \tilde{f}$. Using the continuity of F results that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} F\left(\tilde{f}_n\right) = F\left(\tilde{f}\right) \tag{4}$$

Since F is a unitary morphism with the property $F\left(\widetilde{id}\right) = T$, then from relation (3) results that

$$F\left(\tilde{f}_n\right) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \sum_{j=1}^{n} f(z_j)(z_{j+1} - z_j) R(z_j, T)$$

so

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} F\left(\tilde{f}_n\right) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} f(z) R(z, T) dz, \tag{5}$$

From relations (4) and (5) results that

$$F\left(\tilde{f}\right) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} f(z) R(z, T) dz = F_{T,D}(f) = F_T\left(\tilde{f}\right)$$

which implies that $F_T = F$. ■

Lemma 3.9 *If $K \subset \mathbb{C}$ is a compact set, then each element of the algebra $\mathcal{A}(K)$ is regular.*

Proof. Let $\tilde{f} \in \mathcal{A}(K)$, $D \supset K$ a relatively compact open set, $f \in \mathcal{O}(D)$ ($f \in \tilde{f}$) and $\omega_0 \notin f(K)$. Then there exists two relatively compact open set U and V such that $\omega_0 \in U$, $f(K) \subset V$ and $\overline{U} \cap \overline{V} = \Phi$. For every $\omega \in U$ the function $f_\omega : \overline{f^{-1}(V)} \to \mathbb{C}$ given by relation

$$f_\omega(\lambda) = \frac{1}{\omega - f(\lambda)}, (\forall)\, \lambda \in \overline{f^{-1}(V)}$$

is holomorphic on $f^{-1}(V)$, so $\tilde{f}_\omega \in \mathcal{A}(K)$.

Since for every compact set $A \subset f^{-1}(V)$ we have

$$\sup_{\omega \in \overline{U}} \sup_{\lambda \in A} |f_\omega(\lambda)| < \infty$$

results that the set $\{ \tilde{f}_\omega | \omega \in \overline{U}\}$ is bounded in $(\mathcal{A}(K), \tau_{ind})$. Moreover,

$$(\omega \tilde{1} - \tilde{f})\tilde{f}_\omega = \widetilde{1}$$

so $\omega \in \sigma_W(\tilde{f})$. Therefore $\sigma_W(\tilde{f}) \subset f(K)$. Since K is compact the set $f(K)$ is compact, so $\sigma_W(\tilde{f})$ is compact and $\tilde{f}$ is regular. ∎

Lemma 3.10 *If X and Y are unitary locally convex algebra and $F : X \to Y$ is unitary continuous morphism, then $F(X_r) \subset Y_r$, where X_r and Y_r are the algebras of the regular elements of X, respectively Y.*

Proof. If $x \in X_r$, then there exists $k > 0$ such that $\lambda e - x$ is invertible for every $|\lambda| > k$ and the set $\{R(\lambda, x) \,||\lambda| > k\}$ is bounded in X. Since F is unitary morphism results that

$$F(R(\lambda, x)) = R(\lambda, F(x)), (\forall) \, |\lambda| > k,$$

so from continuity of F results that the set

$$\{F(R(\lambda, x)) \,||\lambda| > k\} = \{R(\lambda, F(x)) ||\lambda| > k\}$$

is bounded. Therefore $F(x)$ is regular. ∎

Proposition 3.11 *If $\mathcal{P} \in \mathcal{C}_0(X)$ and $T \in (Q_\mathcal{P}(X))_0$, then*

$$F_T(\mathcal{A}(\sigma_W(Q_\mathcal{P}, T))) \subset (Q_\mathcal{P}(X))_0.$$

Proof. From lemmas 3.9 and 3.10 results that $F_T(\tilde{f})$ is a regular element of algebra $Q_\mathcal{P}(X)$, for every $\tilde{f} \in \mathcal{A}(\sigma_W(Q_\mathcal{P}, T))$, so by proposition 2.3 we have that $\tilde{f} \in (Q_\mathcal{P}(X))_0$. ∎

Lemma 3.12 *If $\mathcal{P} \in \mathcal{C}_0(X)$, $T \in (Q_\mathcal{P}(X))_0$ and P is a polynomial, then*

$$F_T(\tilde{P}) = \tilde{P}(T) \text{ and } F_{T,D}(P) = P(T).$$

for each relatively compact open set $D \supset \sigma_W(Q_\mathcal{P}, T)$.

Remark 3.13 *From previous lemma results that for each $T \in (Q_\mathcal{P}(X))_0$ we can use the following notation:*

$$F_T(\tilde{f}) = \tilde{f}(T) \text{ and } F_{T,D}(f) = f(T).$$

where $\tilde{f} \in \mathcal{A}(K)$, $D \supset K$ open set and $f \in \mathcal{O}(D)$, such that $f \in \tilde{f}$.

The following theorem represents the analogous of the spectral mapping theorem for Banach spaces.

Theorem 3.14 *If $\mathcal{P} \in \mathcal{C}_0(X)$, $T \in (Q_{\mathcal{P}}(X)\ )_0$ and f is a holomorphic function on an open set $D \supset \sigma_W(Q_{\mathcal{P}}, T)$, then*

$$\sigma_W(Q_{\mathcal{P}}, f(T)) = f(\sigma_W(Q_{\mathcal{P}}, T)).$$

Proof. From lemma (3.10) results that the operator $\tilde{f}(T)$ is regular element of the algebra $Q_{\mathcal{P}}(X)$, so the spectrum $\sigma_W(Q_{\mathcal{P}}, f(T))$ is compact.

Let $\omega_0 \notin f(\sigma_W(Q_{\mathcal{P}}, T))$. Then there exists two relatively compact open set U and V such that $\omega_0 \in U$, $\sigma_W(Q_{\mathcal{P}}, f(T)) \subset V$ and $\overline{U} \cap \overline{V} = \Phi$. We proved already in the proof of lemma (3.9) that if the functions $f_\omega : \overline{f^{-1}(V)} \to \mathbb{C}$, $\omega \in U$, are given by relation

$$f_\omega\,(\lambda) = \frac{1}{\omega - f\,(\lambda)}, (\forall)\,\lambda \in \overline{f^{-1}(V)}$$

then the set $\{\tilde{f}_\omega | \omega \in U\}$ is bounded in $(\mathcal{A}(\sigma_W(Q_{\mathcal{P}}, f(T))), \tau_{ind})$. The morphism F_T is unitary, so

$$F_T(\tilde{f}_\omega)(\omega I - F_T(\tilde{f})) = F_T(\tilde{1}) = I.$$

Now from the continuity of F_T results that the set

$$\{F_T(\tilde{f}_\omega) \mid \omega \in \overline{U}\ \} = \{R(\omega, F_T(\tilde{f})) \mid \omega \in \overline{U}\ \} = \{R(\omega, \tilde{f}(T)) \mid \omega \in \overline{U}\ \}$$

is bounded in $Q_{\mathcal{P}}(X)$. Therefore, $\omega_0 \notin \sigma_W(Q_{\mathcal{P}}, f(T))$ and

$$\sigma_W(Q_{\mathcal{P}}, f(T)) \subset f(\sigma_W(Q_{\mathcal{P}}, T)).$$

If $\omega_0 \in \sigma_W(Q_{\mathcal{P}}, T)$ and $g_{\omega_0} : D \to \mathbb{C}$ is defined by

$$g_{\omega_0}(\lambda) = \begin{cases} \frac{f(\lambda) - f(\omega_0)}{\lambda - \omega_0}, & \text{for } \lambda \neq \omega_0, \\ f'(\omega_0), & \text{for } \lambda = \omega_0, \end{cases}$$

then $g_{\omega_0} \in \mathcal{O}(D)$ and

$$f(\omega_0) - f(\lambda) = (\omega_0 - \lambda) g_{\omega_0}(\lambda), (\forall)\,\lambda \in D.$$

Therefore

$$f(\omega_0)I - f(T) = (\omega_0 I - T) g_{\omega_0}(T).$$

Since $\omega_0 I - T$ is not invertible results that $f(\omega_0) \in \sigma_W(Q_{\mathcal{P}}, f(T))$, so

$$f(\sigma_W(Q_{\mathcal{P}}, T)) \subset \sigma_W(Q_{\mathcal{P}}, f(T)).$$

∎

Theorem 3.15 *Let $\mathcal{P} \in \mathcal{C}_0(X)$ and $T \in (Q_{\mathcal{P}}(X))_0$. If f is holomorphic function on the open set $D \supset \sigma_W(Q_{\mathcal{P}}, T)$ and $g \in O(D_g)$, such that $D_g \supset f(D)$, then $(g \circ f)\,(T) = g(f(T))$.*

Proof. Let G be a Cauchy domain for the pair $(\sigma_W(Q_{\mathcal{P}}, T), D)$ and Γ the boundary of G. Since for each $\omega \notin \overline{f(G)}$ the function $f_\omega : \overline{G} \to \mathbb{C}$,

$$f_\omega(\lambda) = \frac{1}{\omega - f(\lambda)}, (\forall)\, \lambda \in \overline{G},$$

is holomorphic, we can define $f_\omega(T)$, where

$$f_\omega(T) = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_\Gamma \frac{1}{\omega - f(\lambda)} R(\lambda, T) d\lambda = R(\omega, f(T)). \tag{6}$$

If we chose a Cauchy domain G' for the pair $(\sigma_W(Q_{\mathcal{P}}, f(T)), D_g)$ with the boundary Γ' such that $\overline{f(G)} \subset G'$, then $f(\Gamma) \cap \Gamma' = \varnothing$, so we can define the function given by (6) for all $\lambda \in \Gamma$ and $\omega \in \Gamma'$. Therefore, from relation (6) and Cauchy formula results

$$g(f(T)) = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\Gamma'} g(\omega) R(\omega, f(T)) d\omega =$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\Gamma'} g(\omega)\left(\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_\Gamma \frac{1}{\omega - f(\lambda)} R(\lambda, T) d\lambda\right) d\omega =$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_\Gamma R(\lambda, T)\left(\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\Gamma'} \frac{g(\omega)}{\omega - f(\lambda)} d\omega\right) d\lambda = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_\Gamma g(f(\lambda)) R(\lambda, T) d\lambda =$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_\Gamma (g \circ f)(\lambda) R(\lambda, T) d\lambda = (g \circ f)(T)$$

■

Lemma 3.16 *Assume that* $\mathcal{P} \in C_0(X)$ *and* $T \in (Q_{\mathcal{P}}(X))_0$. *If* f *is a holomorphic function on the open set* $D \supset \sigma_W(Q_{\mathcal{P}}, T)$ *and* $f(\lambda) = \sum\limits_{k=0}^{\infty} \alpha_k \lambda^k$ *on* D, *then* $f(T) = \sum\limits_{k=0}^{\infty} \alpha_k T^k$.

Proof. For $\epsilon > 0$ sufficiently small the power series $\sum\limits_{k=0}^{\infty} \alpha_k \lambda^k$ converges uniformly on the boundary Γ of the disc $D = \{\ \lambda \mid |\lambda| = |\sigma_W(Q_{\mathcal{P}}, T)| + \epsilon\}$.

From corollary 3.8 results that

$$f(T) = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_\Gamma f(\lambda)\, R(\lambda, T)\, d\lambda = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_\Gamma \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \alpha_k \lambda^k\right) R(\lambda, T)\, d\lambda =$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi i}\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \alpha_k \int_\Gamma \lambda^k R(\lambda, T)\ d\lambda$$

Since for every $|\lambda| > |\sigma_W(Q_{\mathcal{P}}, T)|$ we have $R(\lambda, T) = \sum\limits_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{T^k}{\lambda^{k+1}}$, so from Cauchy formula results

$$f(T)=\frac{1}{2\pi i}\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\alpha_k\int_\Gamma\lambda^k\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{T^n}{\lambda^{n+1}}\right)\,d\lambda=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\alpha_k T^k.$$

■

Corollary 3.17 *If $\mathcal{P}\in\mathcal{C}_0(X)$ and $T\in(Q_{\mathcal{P}}(X))_0$, then* $\exp T=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\frac{T^k}{k!}$.

Definition 3.18 *If $\mathcal{P}\in\mathcal{C}_0(X)$ and $T\in(Q_{\mathcal{P}}(X))_0$, then a subset of $\sigma_W(Q_{\mathcal{P}},T)$ which is both open and closed in $\sigma_W(Q_{\mathcal{P}},T)$ is called spectral set of T.*

Denote by δ_T the class of spectral sets of T.

Proposition 3.19 *If $\mathcal{P}\in\mathcal{C}_0(X)$ and $T\in(Q_{\mathcal{P}}(X))_0$, then for each spectral set $H\in\delta_T$ there exists a unique idempotent $T_H\in Q_{\mathcal{P}}(X)$ with the following properties:*

1. *$T_HS=ST_H$, whenever $S\in Q_{\mathcal{P}}(X)$ and $ST=TS$;*
2. *$T_\varnothing$ is the null element of $Q_{\mathcal{P}}(X)$;*
3. *$T_{H\cap K}=T_HT_K,(\forall)\,H,K\in\delta_T$;*
4. *$T_{H\cup K}=T_H+T_K$, for each $H,K\in\delta_T$ with the property $H\cap K=\Phi$.*

Proof. First we make the observation that for each set $H\in\delta_T$ there exists an unique germs $\tilde{f}_H\in\mathcal{A}(\sigma_W(Q_{\mathcal{P}},T))$ with the property (H), where

$$(H)\begin{cases}\text{for every pair } (D,D') \text{ of relatively compact open complex sets which}\\ \text{satiesfies the conditions}\\ \qquad H\subset D,\ \sigma_W(Q_{\mathcal{P}},T)\subset D' \text{ and } D\cap D'=\Phi\\ \text{then there exists } f_H\in\tilde{f}_H \text{ such that } f_H/_D=1 \text{ and } f_H\ /_{D'}=0.\end{cases}$$

If Γ is Cauchy boundary for the pair $(\ \overline{H},D)$ (the closure of H is taken in the topology of $\mathbb{C}$) then by definition consider that

$$T_H=F_T(\tilde{f}_H)=\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_\Gamma R(\lambda,T)d\lambda.$$

1) If $ST=TS$, then $SR(\lambda,T)=R(\lambda,T)S$, so $T_HS=ST_H$.
2) Results from the definition of T_H.
3) Let $H,K\in\delta_T$ and $\tilde{f}_H,\tilde{f}_K,\tilde{f}_{H\cap K}\in\mathcal{A}(\sigma_W(Q_{\mathcal{P}},T))$ which verifies the properties (H), (K), respectively $(H\cap K)$.

Assume that the pair (D,D') and (G,G') are like in (H) and (K) properties. Then there exists $f\in\tilde{f}_H$, such that $f/_D=1$ and $f/_{D'}=0$, and $g\in\tilde{f}_K$, such that $g/_G=1$ and $g/_{G'}=0$. It is obvious that $fg/_{D\cap G}=1$ and $fg/_{D'\cap G'}=0$, so $fg\in\tilde{f}_{H\cap K}$ and

$$T_{H\cap M}=F_T(\tilde{f}_{H\cap K})=F_{T,D\cap G}(fg)=F_{T,D\cap G}(f)F_{T,D\cap G}(g)=$$

$$=F_{T,D}(f)F_{T,G}(g)=F(\tilde{f}_H)F(\tilde{f}_K)=T_HT_M.$$

4) We consider the notations made above and with supplementary conditions that $D \cap G = \varnothing$ and $D\,' \cap G\,' = \varnothing$, since $H \cap M = \varnothing$. Then

$$f(\lambda) + g(\lambda) = \begin{cases} 1, \text{ if } \lambda \in D \cup G, \\ 0, \ \text{ for } \lambda \in D\,' \cup G\,', \end{cases}$$

Therefore, if $\tilde{f}_{H\cup K} \in \mathcal{A}(\sigma_W(Q_{\mathcal{P}}, T))$ has the property $(H \cup K)$, then $f + g \in \tilde{f}_{H\cup K}$, so

$$T_{H\cup M} = F_T(\tilde{f}_{H\cup K}) = F_{T,D\cup G}(f+g) = F_{T,D\cup G}(f) + F_{T,D\cup G}(g) =$$

$$= F_{T,D}(f) + F_{T,G}(g) = F_T(\tilde{f}_H) + F_T(\tilde{f}_K) = T_H + T_M.$$

∎

Corollary 3.20 *If $\mathcal{P} \in \mathcal{C}_0(X)$ and $T \in (Q_{\mathcal{P}}(X))_0$, then for every pair of spectral sets spectral set $H, K \in \delta_T$, which have the properties $H \cap K = \varnothing$ and $H \cup K = \sigma_W(Q_{\mathcal{P}}, T)$, we have*

$$T_H + T_K = I \text{ and } T_H T_K = O.$$

Remark 3.21 *From proposition 3.11 results that $T_H \in (Q_{\mathcal{P}}(X))_0$, for each $H \in \delta_T$*

Lemma 3.22 *Assume that $\mathcal{P} \in \mathcal{C}_0(X)$ and $T \in (Q_{\mathcal{P}}(X))_0$. If $F \subset \mathbb{C}$ has the property $dist(\sigma_W(Q_{\mathcal{P}}, T), F) > \varepsilon_0 > 0$, then for each $p \in \mathcal{P}$ there exists $r_p > 0$ such that*

$$\hat{p}(R(\lambda, T)^n) \leq \frac{r_p}{\varepsilon_0^n}, \ \ (\forall)\, \lambda \in F, (\forall)\, n \in \mathbb{N}$$

Proof. Let be $\varepsilon \in (o, \varepsilon_0)$, arbitrary fixed. If $D = \mathbb{C} \backslash \overline{F}$, then for the pair $(\sigma_W(Q_{\mathcal{P}}, T)), D)$ there exists a Cauchy domain G such that

$$|\lambda - \omega| > \varepsilon_0 - \varepsilon, \ \ (\forall)\, \lambda \in F, (\forall)\, \omega \in \overline{G}$$

If Γ is boundary of G, then

$$\hat{p}(R(\lambda, T)^n) = \hat{p}\left(\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_\Gamma \frac{R(\omega, T)}{(\omega - \lambda)^n} d\omega\right) \leq \frac{L(\Gamma)}{2\pi} \sup_{\omega \in \Gamma} \frac{\hat{p}(R(\omega, T)^n)}{|\omega - \lambda|^n} <$$

$$< \frac{\frac{L(\Gamma)}{2\pi} \sup_{\omega\in\Gamma} \hat{p}(R(\omega, T)^n)}{(\varepsilon_0 - \varepsilon)^n}.$$

Since ε is arbitrary results that for $r_p = \frac{L(\Gamma)}{2\pi} \sup_{\omega\in\Gamma} \hat{p}(R(\omega, T)^n)$ the lemma is proved. ∎

Theorem 3.23 *Let $\mathcal{P} \in \mathcal{C}_0(X)$ and $T \in (Q_{\mathcal{P}}(X))_0$. If D is an open relatively compact set which contains the set $\sigma_W(Q_{\mathcal{P}}, T), f \in \mathcal{O}(D)$ and $S \in (Q_{\ \mathcal{P}}(X))_0$, such that $r_{\mathcal{P}}(S) < dist(\sigma_W(Q_{\mathcal{P}}, T), \mathbb{C}\backslash D)$ and $TS = ST$, then the following statements ar true:*

1. $\sigma_W(Q_{\mathcal{P}}, T+S) \subset D$*;*

2. $f(T+S) = \sum\limits_{n\geq 0} \frac{f^{(n)}(T)}{n!} S^n$*.*

Proof. Let $d, d_1 > 0$ such that

$$r_{\mathcal{P}}(S) < d_1 < d < dist\left(\sigma_W(Q_{\mathcal{P}}, T), \mathbb{C}\backslash D\right).$$

If $\Gamma_1 = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid |\lambda| = d_1\}$, then for each $p \in \mathcal{P}$ and each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$\hat{p}(S^n) = \hat{p}\left(\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\Gamma} \lambda^n R(\lambda, S) d\lambda\right) \leq \frac{L(\Gamma_1)}{2\pi} \sup_{\omega\in\Gamma_1} \left(|\lambda^n|\, \hat{p}(R(\lambda, S)\right) \leq \tag{7}$$

$$\leq \frac{L(\Gamma_1)}{2\pi} \sup_{\omega\in\Gamma_1} \hat{p}(R(\lambda, S) \sup_{\omega\in\Gamma_1} |\lambda|^n \leq k_p d_1^n$$

where $k_p = \frac{L(\Gamma_1)}{2\pi} \sup_{\omega\in\Gamma_1} \hat{p}(R(\lambda, T)$.

Moreover, the previous lemma implies that for each $p \in \mathcal{P}$ there exists $r_p > 0$ such that

$$\hat{p}(R(\lambda, T)^{n+1}) \leq \frac{r_p}{d^{n+1}},\ \ (\forall)\, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}\backslash D, (\forall)\, n \in \mathbb{N} \tag{8}$$

so from relation (7) and (8) results that

$$\hat{p}(R(\lambda, T)^{n+1} S^n) = \hat{p}(R(\lambda, T)^{n+1}) \hat{p}(S^n) \leq \frac{k_p r_p}{d_1} \left(\frac{d_1}{d}\right)^{n+1} \tag{9}$$

for every $p \in \mathcal{P}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}\backslash D$. Since $\frac{d_1}{d} < 1$ the relation (9) prove that the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} R(\lambda, T)^{n+1} S^n$ converge uniformly on $\mathbb{C}\backslash D$.

From equalities

$$(\lambda I - T - S) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} R(\lambda, T)^{n+1} S^n = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} R(\lambda, T)^{n+1} S^n (\lambda I - T - S) =$$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} R(\lambda, T)^n S^n - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} R(\lambda, T)^{n+1} S^{n+1} = I$$

results that $\lambda I - T - S$ is invertible in $Q_{\mathcal{P}}(X)$, for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}\backslash D$, and

$$R(\lambda, T+S) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} R(\lambda, T)^{n+1} S^n. \tag{10}$$

Therefore the relation (9) implies that the set $\{R(\lambda, T+S) | \lambda \in \mathbb{C}\backslash D\}$ is bounded in $Q_{\mathcal{P}}(X)$, so $\sigma_W(Q_{\mathcal{P}}, T+S) \subset D$.

If Γ is a Cauchy boundary for the pair $(\sigma_W(Q_{\mathcal{P}}, T+S), D)$, then from (10) and lemma 2.2 results

$$f(\lambda, T+S) = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\Gamma} f(\lambda) R(\lambda, T+S) d\lambda =$$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} f(\lambda) R(\lambda, T)^{n+1} d\lambda \right) S^n =$$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{2\pi i} \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} \int_{\Gamma} f(\lambda) \frac{d^n}{d\lambda^n} R(\lambda, T) d\lambda \right) S^n =$$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{2\pi i} \frac{1}{n!} \int_{\Gamma} f^{(n)}(\lambda) R(\lambda, T) d\lambda \right) S^n = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{f^{(n)}(T)}{n!} S^n$$

■

Corollary 3.24 *Let $\mathcal{P} \in \mathcal{C}_0(X)$ and $T \in (Q_{\mathcal{P}}(X))_0$. If $S \in Q_{\mathcal{P}}(X)$ is $\mathcal{P}$-quasnilpotent, such that $TS = ST$, then*

$$\tilde{f}(T+S) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{\tilde{f}^{(n)}(T)}{n!} S^n, \ (\forall) \tilde{f} \in \mathcal{A}(\sigma_W(Q_{\mathcal{P}}, T))$$

4 Locally bounded operators

Theorem 4.1 *If $T \in \mathcal{LB}(X)$, then*

$$\sigma(T) = \cap \{ \sigma(B_{\mathcal{P}}, T) | T \in B_{\mathcal{P}}(X) \}$$

Proof. Assume that $\lambda \notin \sigma(T)$. From lemma 1.30 results that there exists some calibration $\mathcal{P} \in \mathcal{C}(X)$ such that $(\lambda I - T)^{-1}, T \in B_{\mathcal{P}}(X)$, i.e. $\lambda \in \rho(B_{\mathcal{P}}, T)$. From this observation results that $\lambda \notin \cap \{ \sigma(B_{\mathcal{P}}, T) | T \in B_{\mathcal{P}}(X) \}$, so

$$\cap \{ \sigma(B_{\mathcal{P}}, T) | T \in B_{\mathcal{P}}(X) \} \subset \sigma(T)$$

The reverse inclusion is obvious.

■

Theorem 4.2 *If $T \in \mathcal{LB}(X)$, then there exists $\mathcal{P} \in \mathcal{C}(X)$ such that $T \in B_{\mathcal{P}}(X)$ and*

$$|\sigma(T)| = |\sigma(B_{\mathcal{P}}, T)| = \lim_{n \to \infty} (||T^n||_{\mathcal{P}})^{1/n} = r_{lb}(T)$$

Proof. Let $\mathcal{P} \in \mathcal{C}_0(X)$ such that $T \in B_{\mathcal{P}}(X)$. For every $p \in \mathcal{P}$ and $\epsilon > 0$ we consider

$$S_p(0_X, \epsilon) = \{ x \in X | p(x) < \epsilon \}$$

If $\nu > r_{lb}(T)$, then there exists $\mu \in (r_{lb}(T), \nu)$ such that the sequence $\left\{ \frac{T^n}{\mu^n} \right\}_n$ converges to zero on a zero neighborhood. Then, there exists a zero neighborhood U with the property that for each neighborhood V there exists an index $n_V \in \mathbf{N}$ such that

$$\frac{T^n}{\mu^n}(U) \subset V, (\forall) \, n \geq n_V$$

Since $\mathcal{P} \in \mathcal{C}_0(X)$ we can assume without lost of the generality of the proof that there exists $p_0 \in \mathcal{P}$ and $\epsilon > 0$ such that

$$\frac{T^n}{\mu^n}(S_{p_0}(0_X, \epsilon)) \subset V, (\forall)\, n \geq n_V.$$

Moreover, since $T \in \mathcal{LB}(X)$ there exists some seminorm $p_1 \in \mathcal{P}$ such that the set $TS_{p_1}(0_X, \epsilon)$ is bounded, so for each zero neighborhood V there exists $\alpha_V > 0$ such that

$$TS_{p_1}(0_X, \epsilon) \subset \alpha_V V. \tag{11}$$

Let be n_1 the index for which we have

$$\frac{T^n}{\mu^n} S_{p_0}(0_X, \epsilon) \subset S_{p_1}(0_X, \epsilon), (\forall)\, n \geq n_1.$$

Then for each zero neighborhood V there exists $\alpha_V > 0$ such that

$$\frac{T^{n+1}}{\mu^{n+1}} S_{p_0}(0_X, \epsilon) = \frac{T}{\mu}\left(\frac{T^n}{\mu^n} S_{p_0}(0_X, \epsilon)\right) \subset \frac{1}{\mu} TS_{p_1}(0_X, \epsilon) \subset \frac{\alpha_V}{\mu} V, (\forall)\, n \geq n_1.$$

so if $\beta_V = \mu^{-1}\alpha_V$ and $n_2 = n_1 + 1$, then

$$\frac{T^n}{\mu^n} S_{p_0}(0_X, \epsilon) \subset \beta_V V, (\forall)\, n \geq n_2.$$

Assume that $V = S_q(0_X, 1)$, where $q \in \mathcal{P}$. Therefore, for each $q \in \mathcal{P}$ we can find $\beta_q > 0$ such that

$$\frac{T^n}{\mu^n} S_{p_0}(0_X, \epsilon) \subset \beta_q S_q(0_X, 1), (\forall)\, n \geq n_2.$$

This implies that if $p_0(x) < 1$ then

$$q\left(\frac{\epsilon}{\beta_q}\frac{T^n}{\mu^n} x\right) < 1, (\forall)\, n \geq n_2,$$

so

$$q\left(\frac{T^n}{\mu^n} x\right) \leq \delta_q p_0(x), (\forall)\, n \geq n_2, (\forall)\, x \in X,$$

where $\delta_q = \epsilon^{-1}\beta_q$.

Using relation (11) by the same method we can find for each $q \in \mathcal{P}$ a pozitiv number τ_q such that

$$q(\mathrm{T}x) \leq \tau_q p_1(x), (\forall)\, x \in X.$$

Since $\mathcal{P} \in \mathcal{C}_0(X)$ there exists $p_2 \in \mathcal{P}$ such that $p_0 \leq p_2$ and $p_1 \leq p_2$. Therefore, for each $q \in \mathcal{P}$ we have

$$q\left(\frac{T^n}{\mu^n} x\right) \leq \gamma_q p_2(x), (\forall)\, n \geq n_2, (\forall)\, x \in X,$$

$$q\left(Tx\right) \leq \gamma_q p_2\left(x\right), (\forall)\, x \in X.$$

where $\gamma_q = \max\left\{\tau_q, \delta_q\right\}$.

For each $q \in \mathcal{P}$ consider the application $q' : X \to \mathbb{R}$ given by relation

$$q'(x) = \max\left\{q\left(x\right), \gamma_q p_2\left(x\right)\right\}, (\forall)\, x \in X.$$

It is easy to observe that q' is a seminorm on X and $\mathcal{P}' = \{q\,'|q \in \mathcal{P}\} \in \mathcal{C}(X)$.

If $c_0 = \max\left\{1, \gamma_{p_2}\right\}$, then for each $q' \in \mathcal{P}'$ we have

$$q'(Tx) = \max\left\{q\left(Tx\right), \gamma_q p_2\left(Tx\right)\right\} \leq \max\left\{\gamma_q p_2\left(x\right), \gamma_q \gamma_{p_2} p_2\left(x\right)\right\} =$$

$$= \gamma_q p_2\left(x\right) \max\left\{1, \gamma_{p_2}\right\} \leq c_0 q'\left(x\right), (\forall)\, x \in X.$$

$$q'\left(\frac{T^n}{\mu^n}x\right) = \max\left\{q\left(\frac{T^n}{\mu^n}x\right), \gamma_q p_2\left(\frac{T^n}{\mu^n}x\right)\right\} \leq$$

$$\leq \max\left\{\gamma_q p_2\left(x\right), \gamma_q \gamma_{p_2} p_2\left(x\right)\right\} = \gamma_q p_2\left(x\right) \max\left\{1, \gamma_{p_2}\right\} \leq c_0 q'\left(x\right)$$

for every $n \geq n_2$ and every $x \in X$, so $T \in B_{\mathcal{P}'}(X)$.

From inequality

$$q'\left(\frac{T^n}{\mu^n}x\right) \leq c_0 q'\left(x\right), (\forall)\, n \geq n_2, (\forall)\, x \in X, (\forall)\, q' \in \mathcal{P}',$$

results that

$$\left\|\frac{T^n}{\mu^n}\right\|_{\mathcal{P}'} \leq c_0, (\forall)\, n \geq n_2,$$

or equivalently

$$\|T^n\|_{\mathcal{P}'} \leq c_0 \mu^n, (\forall)\, n \geq n_2.$$

Therefore

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty}(||T^n||_{\mathcal{P}'}^{1/n} \leq \mu < \nu.$$

and since $\nu > r_{lb}(T)$ is arbitrary chosen results

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty}(||T^n||_{\mathcal{P}'})^{1/n} \leq r_{lb}(T).$$

From inclusion $\sigma(T) \subset \sigma(B_{\mathcal{P}'}, T)$, propositions 1.32 and 1.27 results that

$$r_{lb}(T) = |\sigma\left(T\right)| \leq |\sigma(B_{\mathcal{P}'}, T)| \leq \liminf_{n \to \infty}(||T^n||_{\mathcal{P}'})^{1/n},$$

so

$$|\sigma\left(T\right)| = |\sigma(B_{\mathcal{P}'}, T)| = \lim_{n \to \infty}(||T^n||_{\mathcal{P}'})^{1/n} = r_{lb}(T).$$

∎

For a locally bounded operator T on a locally convex space X we can define the main subsets of the spectrum $\sigma_{lb}(T)$: the point spectrum $\sigma_p(T)$, the continuous spectrum $\sigma_c(T)$, the residual spectrum $\sigma_r(T)$), respectively the approximate spectrum $\sigma_a(T)$.

Definition 4.3 *If X is a locally convex space and $T \in \mathcal{LB}(X)$, then:*

1. *$\lambda \in \sigma_p(T)$ if and only if $\ker(\lambda I - T) \neq \{0_X\}$;*
2. *$\lambda \in \sigma_c(T)$ if and only if $(\lambda I - T)^{-1}$ exists on the set Im$\lambda(I - T)$ which is dense in X and $(\lambda I - T)X \neq X$;*
3. *$\lambda \in \sigma_r(T)$ if and only if $(\lambda I - T)^{-1}$ exists on the set Im$(\lambda I - T)$, which is not dense in X;*
4. *$\lambda \in \sigma_a(T)$ if and only if for each $\mathcal{P} \in \mathcal{C}(X)$, such that $T \in B_{\mathcal{P}}(X)$, and for every $c > 0$ there exists a seminorm $p \in \mathcal{P}$ and an element $x \in X$ such that $p\left((\lambda I - T)x\right) < cp(x)$.*

Remark 4.4 *From previous definition results that the sets $\sigma_p(T), \sigma_c(T)$ and $\sigma_r(T)$ are disjoint and*

$$\sigma(T) = \sigma_p(T) \cup \sigma_c(T) \cup \sigma_r(T).$$

Theorem 4.5 *If $T \in \mathcal{LB}(X)$, then*

1. *$\sigma_r(T) \cup \sigma_a(T) = \sigma(T)$;*
2. *$\sigma_p(T) \subset \sigma_a(T)$ and $\sigma_c(T) \subset \sigma_a(T)$.*

Proof. 1) Denote by $\sigma_r(T)^c$ and $\sigma_a(T)^c$ the complements of the sets $\sigma_r(T)$, respectively $\sigma_a(T)$. Let $\lambda \in \sigma_r(T)^c \cap \sigma_a(T)^c$ and $y \in X$.

From the condition $\lambda \notin \sigma_a(T)$ results that there exists $\mathcal{P} \in \mathcal{C}(X)$, such that $T \in B_{\mathcal{P}}(X)$, and $c_0 > 0$ such that

$$p\left((\lambda I - T)x\right) \geq c_0 p(x), (\forall)\, x \in X, (\forall)\, p \in \mathcal{P},$$

so $\ker(\lambda I - T) = \{0_X\}$. Therefore the operator $(\lambda I - T)^{-1}$ exists on the set Im$(\lambda I - T)$, which is dense in X. The previous inequality is equivalent with

$$p\left((\lambda I - T)^{-1} z\right) \leq c_0^{-1} p(z), (\forall)\, z \in \mathrm{Im}(\lambda I - T), (\forall)\, p \in \mathcal{P}. \tag{12}$$

Since Im$(\lambda I - T)$ is dense in X, then for each $y \in X$ there exists an sequence $\{x_\delta\}_\delta$ such that $y_\delta = \lambda x_\delta - T x_\delta$ converges to y.

From previous observation results that the operator $(\lambda I - T)^{-1}$ exists on the set Im$(\lambda I - T)$ and is continuous in the sense of the relation (12). Therefore the sequence $x_\delta = (\lambda I - T)^{-1} y_\delta$ converges in X to an unique element x and from continuity of $(\lambda I - T)^{-1}$ results that $(\lambda I - T)x = y$

Therefore, $(\lambda I - T)X = X$, and from (12) results that $(\lambda I - T)^{-1} \in B_{\mathcal{P}}(X)$, i.e. $\lambda \notin \sigma(T)$. This implies that $\sigma(T) \subset \sigma_r(T) \cup \sigma_a(T)$.

For reverse inclusion we must prove that $\sigma_a(T) \subset \sigma(T)$. If $\lambda \in \sigma_a(T)$, then for each $\mathcal{P} \in \mathcal{C}(X)$, with the property $T \in B_{\mathcal{P}}(X)$, and every $c > 0$ there exists a seminorm $p \in \mathcal{P}$ and an element $x \in X$ such that

$$p\left((\lambda I - T)x\right) < cp(x)$$

If we assume that $\lambda \notin \sigma(T)$, then there exists a calibration $\mathcal{P} \in \mathcal{C}(X)$ such that $(\lambda I - T)^{-1}, T \in B_{\mathcal{P}}(X)$. From lemmas 1.2 and 1.25 results that

$$p\left((\lambda I - T)^{-1} x\right) \leq || (\lambda I - T)^{-1} ||_{\mathcal{P}} p(x), (\forall) x \in X, (\forall) p \in \mathcal{P},$$

or equivalently that for $y = (\lambda I - T)^{-1} x$ we have

$$p(y) \leq || (\lambda I - T)^{-1} ||_{\mathcal{P}} p((\lambda I - T) y), (\forall) x \in X, (\forall) p \in \mathcal{P},$$

which contradict the supposition we made.
2) Since the sets $\sigma_p(T)$, $\sigma_c(T)$ and $\sigma_r(T)$ are disjoint two of each, the property follows from (1)

■

Theorem 4.6 *If $T \in \mathcal{LB}(X)$, then*

1. *the boundary $\partial\sigma(T)$ of the spectral set $\sigma(T)$ is included in $\sigma_a(T)$;*
2. *the set $\sigma_a(T)$ is bounded and closed.*

Proof. 1) Let $\lambda_0 \in \partial\sigma(T)$ and $\epsilon > 0$. Then there exists $\lambda \in \rho(T) = \rho_{lm}(T)$ such that $| \lambda - \lambda_0 | < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$. From lemma 1.30 results that there exists $\mathcal{P} \in \mathcal{C}(X)$ such that $(\lambda I - T)^{-1}, T \in B_{\mathcal{P}}(X)$. Then from corollary 1.35 we have

$$\left\|(\lambda I - T)^{-1}\right\|_{\mathcal{P}} \geq \frac{1}{d(\lambda)} > \frac{2}{\epsilon},$$

where $d(\lambda)$ is the distance from λ to $\sigma(T)$. Since

$$\left\|(\lambda I - T)^{-1}\right\|_{\mathcal{P}} = \inf\{M > 0 \left| p\left((\lambda I - T)^{-1} x\right) \leq M p(x), (\forall) x \in X, (\forall) p \in \mathcal{P}\right.\},$$

results that there exists $p_0 \in \mathcal{P}$ and $x_0 \in X$ such that

$$p_0\left((\lambda I - T)^{-1} x_0\right) > 2\epsilon^{-1} p_0(x_0).$$

For $y_0 = (\lambda I - T)^{-1} x_0$ we have

$$p_0((\lambda I - T) y_0) < 2^{-1}\epsilon p_0(y_0).$$

If $\mathcal{P} \in \mathcal{C}(X)$ such that $T \in B_{\mathcal{P}}(X)$ and $(\lambda I - T)^{-1} \notin B_{\mathcal{P}}(X)$, then from the definition of universally bounded operators there exists $p_1 \in \mathcal{P}$ and $y_1 \in X$ such that

$$p_1\left((\lambda I - T)^{-1} y_1\right) > 2\epsilon^{-1} p_1(y_1)$$

Therefore, for $y_2 = (\lambda I - T)^{-1} y_1$ we have

$$p_1((\lambda I - T) y_2) < 2^{-1}\epsilon p_1(y_2)$$

In conclusion, for every $\mathcal{P} \in \mathcal{C}(X)$, such that $T \in B_{\mathcal{P}}(X)$, and for every $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $q_0 \in \mathcal{P}$ and $z_0 \in X$ such that

$$q_0\left(\left(\lambda_0 I - T\right) z_0\right) \leq q_0\left(\left(\lambda_0 I - T\right) z_0 - \left(\lambda I - T\right) z_0\right) + q_0\left(\left(\lambda I - T\right) z_0\right) =$$

$$= q_0\left(\left(\lambda_0 - \lambda\right) z_0\right) + q_0\left(\left(\lambda I - T\right) z_0\right) < \left(\frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2}\right) q_0\left(z_0\right) = \epsilon q_0\left(z_0\right)$$

Therefore, $\lambda_0 \in \sigma_a(T)$ and $\partial\sigma(T) \subset \sigma_a(T)$.

2) Since a compact set has a nonempty boundary from (1) results that the set $\sigma_a(T)$ is nonmpty set.

If $\lambda_0 \in \sigma_a(T)^c$, then there exists $\mathcal{P} \in \mathcal{C}(X)$, such that $T \in B_{\mathcal{P}}(X)$, and $c_0 > 0$ with the property

$$p\left(\left(\lambda_0 I - T\right) x\right) \geq c_0 p\left(x\right), (\forall)\, x \in X, (\forall)\, p \in \mathcal{P},$$

For $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ with the property $\mid \lambda - \lambda_0 \mid < c_0/2$ we have

$$c_0 p\left(x\right) \leq p\left(\left(\lambda_0 I - T\right) x\right) \leq$$

$$\leq p\left(\left(\lambda_0 I - T\right) x + \left(\lambda I - T\right) x\right) + p\left(\left(\lambda I - T\right) x\right) \leq$$

$$\leq p\left(\left(\lambda_0 - \lambda\right) x\right) + p\left(\left(\lambda I - T\right) x\right) = 2^{-1} c_0 p\left(x\right) + p\left(\left(\lambda I - T\right) x\right)$$

for all $x \in X$ and $p \in \mathcal{P}$, so

$$2^{-1} c_0 p\left(x\right) \leq p\left(\left(\lambda I - T\right) x\right), (\forall)\, x \in X, (\forall)\, p \in \mathcal{P}.$$

Therefore, $\lambda \in \sigma_a(T)^{\ c}$ and

$$\left\{\lambda \mid\mid \lambda - \lambda_0 \mid < \frac{c_0}{2}\right\} \subset \sigma_a(T)^c.$$

Since $\lambda_0 \in \sigma_a(T)^c$ is arbitrary chosen results that the set $\sigma_a(T)^c$ is open, so (2) is proved.

■

Theorem 4.7 *If $T \in \mathcal{LB}(X)$ and $\mathcal{P} \in \mathcal{C}(X)$, such that $T \in B_{\mathcal{P}}(X)$ and $\sigma(Q_{\mathcal{P}}, T)$ is closed, then $\sigma(Q_{\mathcal{P}}, T) = \sigma_W(Q_{\mathcal{P}}, T)$.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{P} = \left(p_\alpha\right)_{\alpha \in \Lambda} \in \mathcal{C}(X)$ such that $T \in B_{\mathcal{P}}(X)$. From definition of Waelbroeck spectrum results that $\rho_W(Q_{\mathcal{P}}, T) \subset \rho(Q_{\mathcal{P}}, T)$.

We prove that $\rho(Q_{\mathcal{P}}, T) \subset \rho_W(Q_{\mathcal{P}}, T)$. Let $\lambda_0 \in \rho(Q_{\mathcal{P}}, T)$ ($\lambda_0 \neq \infty$). Since $\sigma(Q_{\mathcal{P}}, T)$ is closed set results that $\rho(Q_{\mathcal{P}}, T)$ is open, so there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $D\left(\lambda_0, \epsilon\right) \subset \rho(Q_{\mathcal{P}}, T)$, i.e. for every $\lambda \in D\left(\lambda_0, \epsilon\right)$ the operator $\lambda I - T$ is invertible and $(\lambda I - T)^{-1} \in Q_{\mathcal{P}}(X)$.

We will prove that there exists $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that $\{R(\lambda, T) | \lambda \in D\left(\lambda_0, \epsilon_0\right)\}$ is a bounded set in $Q_{\mathcal{P}}(X)$.

First we study if $\sigma(Q_{\mathcal{P}}, R(\lambda_0, T))$ is bounded. If $\mid \mu \mid > \epsilon^{-1}$, then $\mid \mu \mid^{-1} < \epsilon$ and $\lambda_0 - \mu^{-1} \in D\left(\lambda_0, \epsilon\right)$. Therefore, results that the operator $(\lambda_0 - \mu^{-1})I - T$ is invertible and $((\lambda_0 - \mu^{-1})I - T)^{-1} \in Q_{\mathcal{P}}(X)$.

We need to prove the equality

$$R(\mu, R(\lambda_0, T)) = \mu^{-1} R\left(\lambda_0 - \mu^{-1}, T\right)(\lambda_0 I - T) \tag{13}$$

Indeed

$$\begin{gathered}\mu^{-1} R\left(\lambda_0 - \mu^{-1}, T\right)(\lambda_0 I - T)(\mu I - R(\lambda_0, T)) = \\ = R\left(\lambda_0 - \mu^{-1}, T\right)(\lambda_0 I - T) - \mu^{-1} R\left(\lambda_0 - \mu^{-1}, T\right) = \\ = R\left(\lambda_0 - \mu^{-1}, T\right)((\lambda_0 - \mu^{-1}) I - T + \mu^{-1} I) - \mu^{-1} R\left(\lambda_0 - \mu^{-1}, T\right) = \\ = I + \mu^{-1} R\left(\lambda_0 - \mu^{-1}, T\right) - \mu^{-1} R\left(\lambda_0 - \mu^{-1}, T\right) = I \end{gathered} \tag{14}$$

Since, $R\left(\lambda_0 - \mu^{-1}, T\right)$ comute with T results that

$$R\left(\lambda_0 - \mu^{-1}, T\right)(\lambda_0 I - T) = (\lambda_0 I - T) R\left(\lambda_0 - \mu^{-1}, T\right),$$

which implies that

$$R(\lambda_0, T) R\left(\lambda_0 - \mu^{-1}, T\right) = R\left(\lambda_0 - \mu^{-1}, T\right) R(\lambda_0, T).$$

From this equality and relation (14) results (13).

Moreover,

$$R\left(\lambda_0 - \mu^{-1}, T\right), (\lambda_0 I - T) \in Q_{\mathcal{P}}(X),$$

so $R(\mu, R(\lambda_0, T)) \in Q_{\mathcal{P}}(X)$, for all $\mid \mu \mid > \epsilon^{-1}$.

Therefore, $\sigma(Q_{\mathcal{P}}, R(\lambda_0, T)) \subset D\left(0, \epsilon^{-1}\right)$.

But if $\sigma(Q_{\mathcal{P}}, R(\lambda_0, T))$ is bounded then by proposition 1.28 there exists a family of seminorms $\mathcal{P}' \in \mathcal{C}(X)$ which satisfies the condition

1. the families $\mathcal{P} = (p_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ and $\mathcal{P}' = (p'_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ has the same family of indices.
2. for every $\alpha \in \Lambda$ there exists $m_\alpha, M_\alpha > 0$ such that

$$m_\alpha p_\alpha(x) \leq p'_\alpha(x) \leq M_\alpha p_\alpha(x), (\forall) x \in X;$$

3. $R(\lambda_0, T) \in B'_{\mathcal{P}}(X)$

Moreover, it is obvious that $Q'_{\mathcal{P}}(X) = Q_{\mathcal{P}}(X)$.

Let $\epsilon_0 = \min\{\epsilon, 2^{-1} \|R(\lambda_0, T)\|_{\mathcal{P}'}^{-1}\}$. Then for every $\mid \mu \mid < \epsilon_0$ we have $\|\mu R(\lambda_0, T)\|_{\mathcal{P}'} < \frac{1}{2}$, so the series $\sum\limits_{k=0}^{\infty} (-\mu)^k R(\lambda_0, T)^k$ converges in the algebra $B_{\mathcal{P}'}(X)$ with respect to $\| \, \|_{\mathcal{P}'}$. From the equalities

$$(I + \mu R(\lambda_0, T)) \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-\mu)^k R(\lambda_0, T)^k \right) =$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-\mu)^k R(\lambda_0, T)^k - \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-\mu)^{k+1} R(\lambda_0, T)^{k+1} = I$$

results that

$$(I+\mu R(\lambda_0,T))^{-1}=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(-\mu)^k R(\lambda_0,T)^k$$

Using this relation and the following equalities

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(-\mu)^k R(\lambda_0,T)^{k+1}=\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(-\mu)^k R(\lambda_0,T)^k\right)R(\lambda_0,T)=$$

$$=[I+\mu R(\lambda_0,T)]^{-1}R(\lambda_0,T)=[(\lambda_0,T)\,(I+\mu R(\lambda_0,T))]^{-1}=$$
$$=(\lambda_0 I-T-\mu I)^{-1}=R(\mu+\lambda_0,T)$$

results that $\sum\limits_{k=0}^{\infty}(-\mu)^k R(\lambda_0,T)^{k+1}$ converges in $B_{\mathcal{P}'}(X)$ to $R(\mu+\lambda_0,T)$.

Since $\|\mu R(\lambda_0,T)\|_{\mathcal{P}'}<\frac{1}{2}$ results that

$$\|R(\mu+\lambda_0,T)\|_{\mathcal{P}'}\le 2\,\|R(\lambda_0,T)\|_{\mathcal{P}'}\,,(\forall)\mid\mu\mid<\epsilon_0.$$

so using lemma 1.25 we have

$$p'_\alpha(R(\mu+\lambda_0,T)x)\le 2\,\|R(\lambda_0,T)\|_{\mathcal{P}'}\,p'_\alpha(x)\,,$$

for every $x\in X$ and every $\alpha\in\Lambda$. The property (2) of family $\mathcal{P}'\in\mathcal{C}(X)$ implies that

$$p_\alpha(R(\mu+\lambda_0,T)x)\le m_\alpha^{-1}p'_\alpha(R(\mu+\lambda_0,T)x)\le$$
$$\le m_\alpha^{-1}2\,\|R(\lambda_0,T)\|_{\mathcal{P}'}\,p'_\alpha(x)\le$$
$$\le 2m_\alpha^{-1}M_\alpha\,\|R(\lambda_0,T)\|_{\mathcal{P}'}\,p_\alpha(x)$$

for every $x\in X$ and every $\mid\mu\mid<\epsilon_0$. Therefore,

$$\hat{p}_\alpha(R(\mu+\lambda_0,T)x)\le 2m_\alpha^{-1}M_\alpha\,\|R(\lambda_0,T)\|_{\mathcal{P}'}$$

for every $\alpha\in\Lambda$ and every $\mid\mu\mid<\epsilon_0$, so the set $\{R(\lambda,T)|\lambda\in D\,(\lambda_0,\epsilon_0)\}$ is bounded in $Q_{\mathcal{P}}(X)$ and $\lambda_0\in\rho_W(Q_{\mathcal{P}},T)$. ■

Proposition 4.8 *If $(X,\mathcal{P})$ is a locally convex space such that $\mathcal{P}\in\mathcal{C}_0(X)$, then for each operator $T\in\mathcal{LB}(X)$ there exists a family of seminorms $\mathcal{P}'\in\mathcal{C}(X)$ such that $\mathcal{P}\approx\mathcal{P}'$ and $T\in B_{\mathcal{P}'}(X)$.*

Proof. Since $T\in\mathcal{LB}(X)$ there exist $p_0\in\mathcal{P}$ such that $m_{p_0q}(T)<\infty$, for each $q\in\mathcal{P}$. From lemma 1.2 results that

$$q(Tx)\le m_{p_0q}(T)p_0(x),\ \ (\forall)\,x\in X,(\forall)\,q\in\mathcal{P}\tag{15}$$

For every $q\in\mathcal{P}$, we consider the application $q':X\to R$ given by relation

$$q'(x)=\max\{q(x),m_{p_0q}(T)p_0(x)\}\,,\ \ (\forall)\,x\in X.$$

It is easily to see that $\mathcal{P}' = \{q' |\ q \in \mathcal{P}\} \in \mathcal{C}(X)$ and for every $q \in \mathcal{P}$ we have $q \leq q'$. Let $q' \in \mathcal{P}'$, where

$$q'(x) = \max\{q(x), m_{p_0 q}(T)p_0(x)\}, \quad (\forall)\, x \in X.$$

Since $\mathcal{P} \in \mathcal{C}_0(X)$ results that there exists $q_1 \in \mathcal{P}$ such that $p_0 \leq q_1$ and $q \leq q_1$, so

$$q'(x) = \max\{q(x), m_{p_0 q}(T)p_0(x)\} \leq c_q q_1(x), \quad (\forall)\, x \in X,$$

where $c_q = \max\{1, m_{p_0 q}(T)\}$. Therefore, for every $q' \in \mathcal{P}'$ there exists $q_1 \in \mathcal{P}$ and $c_q > 0$ such that $q' \leq c_q q_1$. This will implies that $\mathcal{P} \approx \mathcal{P}'$.

Moreover, from (15) results that for every $q' \in \mathcal{P}'$ we have

$$q'(Tx) = \max\{q(Tx), m_{p_0 q}(T)p_0(Tx)\} \leq$$
$$\leq \max\{m_{p_0 q}(T)p_0(x), m_{p_0 q}(T)\hat{p}_0(T)p_0(x)\} =$$
$$= m_{p_0 q}(T)p_0(x) \max\{1, \hat{p}_0(T)\} \leq c_0 m_{p_0 q}(T) q'(x)$$

for all $x \in X$ (where $c_0 = \max\{1, \hat{p}_0(T)\}$), so $T \in B_{\mathcal{P}'}(X)$. ∎

Corollary 4.9 *If $(X, \mathcal{P})$ is a locally convex space such that $\mathcal{P} \in \mathcal{C}_0(X)$ and $\mathcal{LB}(X) = \mathcal{L}(X)$, then*

$$\mathcal{LB}(X) = \mathcal{L}(X) = Q_{\mathcal{P}}(X) = (Q_{\mathcal{P}}(X))_0.$$

Proof. It is a direct consequence of proposition and propositions 1.9, 1.23 and 4.8. ∎

Corollary 4.10 *If $(X, \mathcal{P})$ is a locally convex space such that $\mathcal{P} \in \mathcal{C}_0(X)$ and $T \in \mathcal{LB}(X)$, then*

$$\sigma(T) = \sigma_{lb}(T) = \sigma(Q_{\mathcal{P}}, T) = \sigma(Q^0_{\mathcal{P}}, T) = \sigma_W(Q_{\mathcal{P}}, T).$$

Proof. The proposition 1.31 give us the equality $\sigma(T) = \sigma_{lb}(T)$.

If $\lambda \in \rho_{lb}(T)$, then there exists a scalar α and a locally bounded operator S on X such that $(\lambda I - T)^{-1} = \alpha I + S$. From proposition 1.23 and 4.8 results that $S \in (Q_{\mathcal{P}}(X))_0$, so $(\lambda I - T)^{-1} \in (Q_{\mathcal{P}}(X))_0$. Therefore, $\lambda \in \rho(Q_{\mathcal{P}}, T)$ and

$$\rho_{lb}(T) \subset \rho(Q^0_{\mathcal{P}}, T) \subset \rho(Q_{\mathcal{P}}, T) \subset \rho(T),$$

which prove that

$$\sigma(T) = \sigma_{lb}(T) = \sigma(Q_{\mathcal{P}}, T) = \sigma(Q^0_{\mathcal{P}}, T).$$

Moreover, from proposition 1.33 results that the set $\sigma(Q_{\mathcal{P}}, T)$ is closed. Proposition 4.8 implies that there exists some calibration $\mathcal{P}' \in \mathcal{C}(X)$ such that $\mathcal{P} \approx \mathcal{P}'$ and $T \in B_{\mathcal{P}'}(X)$. Since $Q_{\mathcal{P}}(X) = Q_{\mathcal{P}'}(X)$ (proposition 1.9) and $\sigma(Q_{\mathcal{P}}, T) = \sigma(Q_{\mathcal{P}'}, T)$ is closed, the theorem 4.7 will prove that

$$\sigma(Q_{\mathcal{P}}, T) = \sigma(Q_{\mathcal{P}'}, T) = \sigma_W(Q_{\mathcal{P}'}, T) = \sigma_W(Q_{\mathcal{P}}, T).$$

∎

Remark 4.11 *If $(X, \mathcal{P})$ is a locally convex space such that $\mathcal{P} \in \mathcal{C}_0(X)$, then the corollary 4.10 show that for locally bounded operators on X the functional calculus presented in the previous section is a natural generalization of the functional calculus for bounded operators on Banach spaces.*

References

[1] Allan G.R., *A spectral theory for locally convex algebras*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **15** (1965), 399-421.

[2] Chilana, A., *Invariant subspaces for linear operators on locally convex spaces*, J. London. Math. Soc., **2** (1970) , 493-503.

[3] Colojoara, I., *Elemente de teorie spectrală*, Editura Academiei Republicii Socialiste România, Bucureşti 1968.

[4] Dowson, H.R., *Spectral theory of linear operators*, ACADEMIC PRESS, 1978.

[5] Dunford, N and Schwartz, J., Spectral Theory, Part I, Interscience Publishers, Inc., New-York, 1964.

[6] Edwards, R.E., *Functional Analysis*, Theory and Aplications, Holt, Rinehart and Winston, Inc, 1965.

[7] Gilles, J.R., Joseph, G.A., Koehler, D.O. and Sims B., *On numerical ranges of operators on locally convex spaces*, J. Austral. Math. Soc. **20** (Series A),(1975), 468-482.

[8] Joseph, G.A., *Boundness and completeness in locally convex spaces and algebras*, J. Austral. Math. Soc., **24** (Series A), (1977), 50-63.

[9] Kramar, E., *On the numerical range of operators on locally and H-locally convex spaces*, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae **34**,2(1993), 229-237.

[10] Kramar, E., *Invariant subspaces for some operators on locally convex spaces*, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae **38**,3(1997), 635-644.

[11] Maeda, F., *Remarks on spectra of operators on locally convex space*, Proc.N.A.S., Vol.**47**, 1961.

[12] Michael, A., *Locally multiplicativelly convex topological algebras*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc., 11, 1952.

[13] Moore, R.T., *Banach algebras of operators on locally convex spaces*, Bull. Am. Math. Soc., **75** (1969), 69-73.

[14] Moore, R.T., *Adjoints, numerical ranges and spectra of operators on locally convex spaces*, Bull. Am. Math. Soc., **75** (1969), 85-90.

[15] Robertson, A.P. and Robertson W.J., *Topological vector spaces*, Cambridge University Press., New-York, 1964.

[16] Stoian,S.M., *Spectral radius of a quontient bounded operator*, Studia Univ. Babes-Bolyai, Mathematica, No.**4**, 2004, pg.115-126;

[17] Stoian,S.M., *Spectral radius of a locally bounded operator*, arXiv: math. FA/0511671

[18] Troitsky, V.G., *Spectral Radii Of Bounded Operators On Topological Vector Spaces*, PanAmerican Mathematical Society, **11**(2001), no.3, 1-35.

[19] Waelbroeck, L., *Etude des algebres completes* , Acad. Roy.Belgique Cl. Sci.Mem. coll. in 8, **31**(1960), no.7.